Submission

No 9

INQUIRY INTO FOLLOW UP OF AUDITOR-GENERAL'S PERFORMANCE AUDIT REPORTS OCTOBER 2009 TO SEPTEMBER 2010

Department of Transport
Mr Les Wielinga
Director General
8202 2200
15/08/2011

Theme:

Summary



Mr Jonathan O'Dea MP Chair Public Accounts Committee Legislative Assembly Parliament of New South Wales Macquarie Street SYDNEY NSW 2000

DG11/08686

Dear Mr O'Dea,

I refer to your correspondence received by me on 7 July 2011 regarding the Auditor-General's Report on Improving the Performance of Metropolitan Bus Services and the provision of a table outlining the Department of Transport's response, including any action to date.

I enclose a table as requested.

Thank you also for the opportunity to provide feedback on the effectiveness of the Audit. The Department of Transport saw the Audit as a positive process which provided some focus to certain areas of Contract Management, such as the performance of bus services and as such the Department of Transport has implemented benchmarking and a more thorough review of performance measures following the audit outcome.

The Department of Transport considers the cost of the Audit was minimal with the main impact being the time taken to facilitate the provision of information over a 10 - 12 month process.

Yours sincerely,

Les alelening

Les Wielinga Director General

12-08-11

18 Lee Street Chippendale NSW 2008 PO Box K659 Haymarket NSW 1240 T 8202 2200 F 8202 2209 www.transport.nsw.gov.au ABN 11 370 995 518 Performance Audit – Improving the Performance of Metropolitan Bus Services IMPLEMENTATION OF RECOMMENDATIONS:

Responsibility	Department of Transport Services
Status Comment	The Department of Transport has undertaken significant steps to ensure that all contract holders provide performance, operational and financial data in a standard format. As a result of this work the Department of Transport has been able to develop standard performance benchmarking Key Performance Indicators. The KPIs are: . Network efficiency 2. Network efficiency 3. Service Reliability at Departure (OTR) 4. Service Reliability at Departure (OTR) 5. Safety 6. Management Fraud These KPIs have been benchmarked, with a level of data normalisation provided for certain KPIs to account for differences. Reports have been developed for Financial Years 2003-09 and 2009 -10 is currently being completed. On Time Running Programme with services being observed and reported by independent contractors at strategic bus operating locations. These independent checks override previous operator self reporting. The Department of Transport is
Due Date	Draft report by end April 2010 Date met and Benchmarking Performance Reports are now provided annually.
Actions to be taken	To assist in managing current bus operator performance as well as preparing for the contract renewal process, the Department of Transport is undertaking a Bus Contract Benchmark Exercise. The Benchmarks include a mixture of cost and service quality Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) as follows; Total cost per service kilometre Boardings per service kilometre Early and late trips from departure Early and late trips at mid point Complaints per 100,000 boarding's Customer Satisfaction Average number of Heavy Vehicle Inspection Scheme (HVIS) failures per bus per annum No management fraud detected during independent audits of sales and reconciliation processes.
Accepted/ Rejected or noted	Accepted
Recommendation	Department of Transport further increases pressure to improve performance and drive costs down by: 1. Specifying a range of performance objectives for each contract region (e.g. cost per service kilometre, service quality and accessibility) with a clear focus on the needs of bus users.

Recommendation	Accepted/ Rejected or noted	Actions to be taken	Due Date	Status Comment	Responsibility
				also working closely with the RTA in developing the PTIPS reporting system which will supersede the current manual OTR as PTIPS rolls out across the bus network. Current OTR trials of PTPS for STA is ongoing and will form the basis for Private Bus Operator (PBO) OTR future performance reporting.	
				The Department of Transport has also convened a National Bus Performance Benchmarking Group (NBPBG). The objectives of the Group are to establish a system of measures for cross jurisdictional comparison and to understand why differences might occur. Consultancy group INDEC Pty Ltd was engaged by the NBPBG to undertake the data collection and analysis and provide a comparative report.	Department of Transport
				In addition to the above work the Department of Transport has engaged consultants INDEC to provide a comparison of each NSW O/MBSC operator against the National Benchmark. This work is to commence in August 2011.	Transport Services
		In addition to the above initiative, the Department of Transport is currently undertaking a comprehensive review of the Contracts Performance Criteria and is developing revised Performance Standards and Measures. These will form part of Contract Renewal negotiations and be the basis for next generation bus contracts.		Revised Performance Standards (Contract Service Levels) are being developed for the next generation of bus contracts. These will form a critical part of the new contract.	

Responsibility	Department of Transport Services
Status Comment	Revised Performance Standards (Contract Service Levels) are being developed for the next generation of bus contracts. These will form a critical part of the new contract. The Department of Transport has also convened a National Bus Performance Benchmarking Group (NBPBG). The objectives of the Group are to establish a system of measures for cross jurisdictional comparison and to understand why differences might occur. Consultancy group INDEC Pty Ltd was engaged by the NBPBG to undertake the data collection and analysis and provide a comparative report.
Due Date	
Actions to be taken	The Department of Transport is currently undertaking a comprehensive review of the Contracts Performance Criteria and is developing revised Performance Standards and Measures. These will form part of Contract Renewal negotiations and be the basis for next generation bus contracts.
Accepted/ Rejected or noted	
Recommendation	 Comprehensively benchmarking performance to hold bus operators accountable, with penalties for poor performance.

Status Comment Responsibility	completed for	Regular Contract Reporting meetings take place monthly to investigate trends, issues, inconsistency in data etc. A return is submitted to each operator where errors, anomalies or further clarifications are required.	Operators are also asked to provide advice regarding areas where reporting information has changed by significant variations.	Quarterly Operator Contract Meetings include Operator Performance occur quarterly.		OTR checks and reports are carried out each month.	
Due Date Sta	December Audits have been 2010 the 2010/11 year.	Regular Contract Re meetings take place investigate trends, is inconsistency in data inconsistency in data return is submitted to operator where error anomalies or further clarifications are req	Operators provide ad- where repo changed b variations.	Quarterly C Meetings ir Performan		OTR check carried out	
Actions to be taken	The Department of Transport has engaged an Independent Auditor to audit the Metropolitan Bus Service Contracts. This audit will be expanded to include the auditing of bus operator self reported performance data.	The Department of Transport has established a formal management meeting to review monthly report submissions from all O and MBSC operators. The review looks at trends, profiles, inconsistency in data etc. A return is submitted to each operator where errors, anomalies or further clarifications are required. Operators are also asked to provide advice regarding areas where reporting information has changed by significant	variations. As a result of the above a significant improvement in data and other information has been achieved from contract holders.	Regular (quarterly) contract meetings with operators also review performance matters and reports provided by the individual operators.	Ad-hoc checks – mystery shopper activities have been carried out to confirm and audit operator self reporting.	On Time Running (OTR) has been strengthened to include contract staff at key strategic locations to monitor and measure bus performance against actual operations.	A detailed and agreed process has been developed with all contract holders which results in each operator signing
Accepted/ Rejected or noted	Accepted						.,
Kecommendation			 Strengthening controls on operator self-reporting to ensure that performance information is accurate. 				

Responsibility	Department of Transport Services Director Bus and Ferry Contracts
Resp	에 있는 것이 같은 것이 있는 것이 있는 것이 있는 것이 있는 것이 있었다. 한 것이 있는 것이 있었다. 한 것이 있는 것이 같은 것이 같은 것이 같은 것이 있는 것이 있는 것이 있는 것이 있는 것이 있는 것이 있는 것이 같은 것이 같이 있는 것이 있
Status Comment	Since the Audit, a contract to deliver an electronic ticketing system was signed. Together with the Global Positioning System (GPS) bus tracking technology provided by the Public Transport Information and Priority System (PTIPS) these projects will enable real time data without significant operator input. Real time data without significant operator input. A detailed and agreed process has been developed with all contract holders which results in each operator signing off on OTR results each month. These monthly OTR Results are available for publication.
Due Date	December 2010
Actions to be taken	The Department of Transport is taking steps to implement a transparent reporting system that will in particular, enhance current on time running information. The Department of Transport acknowledges the recommendation relating to publicly reporting operator performance by route and region and is working on implementing appropriate measures and systems to address this in timely manner.
Accepted/R ejected or noted	Noted
Recommendation	Publicly reporting operator performance by route and by region
1.42.5	4

5. Conducting more	Accepted	The Department of Transport approached the	December	This year, the DoT's Bureau	Department of
frequent bus customer			2010	of Transport Statistics (BTS)	Transport
satisfaction and usage		Regulator (ITSRR) to undertake customer		is expanding its core survey	Topoort
surveys, including the		satisfaction surveys in 2009 and 2010. The first two	2	program to include the	Sanvicas
use of Mystery		surveys have been undertaken and ITSRR agreed to		conduct of independent	001 1100
Shoppers.		undertake further surveys for the next three years.		Customer Satisfaction Survevs across Svdnev's	
		Under the amalgamating of some Transport		rail, bus and ferry	
		Agencies under the Transport NSW and now		customers in a consistent	
		Transport for NSW. Transport as the lead		way.	
		organisation has taken responsibility for conducting			×
		more frequent bus customer satisfaction surveys.		Stakeholder consultation,	
				pilot testing surveys on-	
		In 2011 these surveys will be undertaken on board		board public transport	
•		bus services as opposed to the previous process of		services have been	č
		phone calling residents of operating regions.		conducted to provide an	
				annual snapshot of customer	
		It is envisaged that these customer satisfaction		views on the service they are	
		surveys will be carried out each year of the contract.		receiving.	
				Results will be available from	
				the BTS website in the second half of 2011.	