

THE AUDIT OFFICE

CONTACT NAME

P Achterstraat 9275 7101

TELEPHONE
OUR REFERENCE

YOUR REFERENCE

YOL

/4 May 2009

Mr Paul McLeay MP Chair Public Accounts Committee Legislative Assembly Parliament House, Macquarie Street SYDNEY NSW 2000

Dear Mr McLeay

Examination of Auditor-General's Performance Audit Report Efficiency of the Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions

We have reviewed the submission provided by the Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions concerning the recommendations in the above performance audit report.

We are pleased that the Office's submission indicates that it has accepted all of our recommendations and is making good progress in implementing them.

Please find attached our assessment of the extent to which each response addresses the issues raised in the original report, along with a comment on progress. We have not substantiated the submission.

I plan to forward a copy of this correspondence to the Director of Public Prosecutions for his information.

I am happy to provide any further assistance the Committee may need in completing its examination.

Yours sincerely

Peter Achterstraat Auditor-General

attachment

Auditor-General's recommendations Report 177, Efficiency of the Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions (ODPP)	Does ODPP's response indicate	NSW Audit Office comments on progress reported in ODPP's response	
March 2008	appropriate action has started?		
 continue to build on recent improvements to its service and efficiency indicators. In so doing the ODPP should: by the end of 2007-08, clearly articulate its services, and how these services contribute to the results it is trying to achieve by the end of 2007-08, develop indicators of quantity, timeliness, total cost and unit cost for each service from the beginning of 2008-09, include these indicators in its planning and internal reporting select from these a smaller number of 	Yes	ODPP's submission indicates good progress is being made. While several indicators are still being developed, this stems from the ODPP's decision to revisit its activity based costing approach in order to ensure it is robust, adequately meets its needs, and collects information electronically. A small delay in implementation appears warranted to obtain the benefits likely to accrue from this approach. Our reading of the submission suggests the	
 'headline' indicators to use in its reports to Parliament and to the Minister start building a data development agenda and report progress alongside its reporting on service performance (page 48) 		revised date for finalising the indicators is the third quarter of 2009, which would be reasonable.	
 2. include in its reporting to the Attorney General and Parliament: its improved service and efficiency indicators an explanation of why these indicators are important advice on what represents good performance comparisons over time, against benchmarks and to targets narrative to clearly explain performance (page 51) 	Yes	ODPP's submission indicates good progress is being made in cascading its improved indicators into reporting. The next important step is to explain what the indicators show in a way readers can understand readily.	
 3. collect accurate and comprehensive information about the costs of its services and activities and use this to assess its efficiency and cost effectiveness. In so doing the ODPP should: bed down its prosecution service and activity costing methodology and ensure the costing process adopted is able to accurately identify the cost of delivering prosecution services 	Yes	ODPP's submission indicates good progress is being made.	
 apply appropriate costing methodologies to its other key services such as witness assistance, contribution to an efficient justice system, and advice to government on proposed legislation use service costing information to enhance its reporting (page 53) 			

per	rovide greater assurance that reported formance information is accurate and implete. In so doing the ODPP should: clearly define and document roles and responsibilities for data collection, processing, monitoring and quality assurance, analysis and reporting for service performance indicators develop and document data quality standards and expectations, and clearly communicate these needs to responsible		indicates good progress is being made in defining, collecting and analysing data. It will also be important to ensure that there is clear accountability at both executive and staff level to
	responsibilities for data collection, processing, monitoring and quality assurance, analysis and reporting for service performance indicators develop and document data quality standards and expectations, and clearly		important to ensure that there is clear accountability at both
	standards and expectations, and clearly		maintain the system. As
	officers		with any new system, adequate staff training will also be important.
•	document data definitions and collection methods to ensure consistent measurement and calculation	:	
	implement suitable data collection, processing and monitoring controls to ensure the accuracy, completeness and reliability of performance data implement appropriate training for staff who are responsible for collection, processing and reporting of performance information		
	consider the development of a data dictionary in the longer term (page 55)	•	
ser alle	service costing information to improve its vice delivery, efficiency and resource ocation. In so doing the ODPP should use vice costing information to:	Yes	We agree this relies on the improvements to costing systems per recommendation 3. As indicated above, ODPP's
	inform its planning, decision-making and cost management benchmark costs between different		submission indicates good progress is being made on costing.
	groups in the organisation and other agencies (page 62)		
sys use an de	ure staff keep the case management stem (CASES) up to date so managers can e CASES for effective monitoring, reporting d decision making. CASES should be veloped as the only repository for prorma. In so doing the ODPP should:	Yes	ODPP's submission indicates good progress is being made.
	develop a regular, consistent and systematic approach to the review of solicitors' practices by Managing Lawyers		
•	review case-specific pro forma that are available on the Integrated Document Management System, ODPP intranet (DPP Net) and CASES and relocate all relevant		

. '4

7. improve its management of wo workflow including Crown Proworkload and efficiency. In so should:	secutors'	Yes	ODPP's submission indicates good progress is being made.
ensure that the systems for management in the Solicit implemented consistently			٠.
 systematically analyse the efficiency of Crown Prosect 			
consider asking the Attorn amend the Crown Prosecu give the Director of Public power to suspend and dispersecutors for serious new This would be subject to a controls to ensure that the transparent and affords not (page 71)	tors Act 1986 to Prosecutions niss Crown glect of duty. ppropriate process is fair,		•
8. once the ODPP has better inforcost of prosecutions, use this		Yes	ODPP's submission indicates good progress is
• improve its management a	arrangements	:	being made.
inform a detailed, indeper the feasibility of creating smaller Crown Prosecutors Sydney and 'pairing' these solicitors (page 75)	a number of s' chambers in		
9. document the rationale for the number of prosecutors and lever experience required, and example and potential benefits of reduction of Crown Prosecutors and using create additional Trial Advocate or Associate Crown positions (page 79)	vels of mine the risks ucing the number ng the funds to ate, Senior Trial	Yes	ODPP's submission indicates good progress is being made.
10. work towards adopting a 'crad approach to case managemen systematically set about stand practices across the Solicitor' doing the ODPP should:	t and dardising	Yes	ODPP's submission indicates good progress is being made.
 brief workflow analysts to detailed study to determi cons of moving from the of of labour' approach towar continuity 	ne the pros and current 'division		
 examine opportunities to implement common pract offices and groups within Office (page 81) 	ices between		

11. adopt a more systematic approach to capturing and quantifying the impact of the practices of other agencies on its efficiency, and use the resulting information to support efforts to maximise the efficiency of the justice system. In so doing the ODPP should:	Yes	ODPP's submission indicates good progress is being made.
 develop more systematic approaches to capturing and quantifying the impact on its operations of court listing practices and the quality of NSW Police briefs 		
 use this information to support its efforts to reduce the impact of these on its efficiency 		
 report the impacts to the Attorney General, NSW Treasury and in its Annual Report (page 86) 		
12. introduce a position of Executive Director with similar or greater status to the existing Deputy Directors, reporting directly to the Director of Public Prosecutions (page 90)	Yes	Completed. The progress indicated in this submission suggests that the ODPP has taken advantage of this appointment to progress its accountability and performance improvement efforts.
13. review other positions to rationalise management responsibilities within the new position of Executive Director (page 90)	Yes	ODPP's submission indicates good progress is being made.
14. provide better information on costs and services to the Board so it can more effectively monitor efficiency and make realistic and practical improvement recommendations (page 90)	Yes	ODPP's submission indicates good progress is being made.
15. appoint an independent Chair to the Audit and Risk Management Committee (page 90)	Yes .	Completed.
16. conduct regular surveys of staff satisfaction and implement a systematic process to address staff concerns and improve morale (page 90).	Yes	ODPP's submission indicates satisfactory progress is being made. It is sensible to delay the survey until after the move to new premises, and in the interim review the ODPP's response to the 2004 survey.