Agreement in Principle

Mr BARRY COLLIER (Miranda—Parliamentary Secretary) [9.19 p.m.], on behalf of Ms Carmel Tebbutt: I move: That this bill be now agreed to in principle.

The Commercial Arbitration Bill 2010 will repeal the Commercial Arbitration Act 1984 and provide a new procedural framework for the conduct of domestic commercial arbitrations. The bill facilitates the use of arbitration agreements to manage domestic commercial disputes and will ensure that arbitration provides a cost-effective and efficient alternative to litigation in Australia. The current Act is part of uniform domestic arbitration legislation across all States and Territories. This uniform legislation has not kept pace with changes in international best practice and still reflects the old English arbitration Acts. There is now a compelling need for reform and many prominent stakeholders, in particular the Chief Justice of New South Wales, have advocated for the update of commercial arbitration legislation.

At the May 2010 meeting of the Standing Committee of Attorneys-General, Ministers agreed to update the uniform legislation. This updated law would be based on the United Nations Commission on International Trade Law [UNCITRAL] Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration. The UNCITRAL Model Law reflects the accepted world standard for arbitrating commercial disputes. New South Wales took the lead in developing this model bill and will be the first jurisdiction to introduce legislation based on the model bill and provide business with up-to-date domestic arbitration laws. I shall deal briefly with the amendments to the bill in the other place.

The first amendment by the Opposition inserted the words "given on or after the termination of the mediation proceedings" at the end of proposed section 27D (4). The effect of the amendment is to clarify that consent to a practitioner proceeding to arbitrate must be given after the mediation. The Government moved three technical amendments. The first amendment added clause 8 to the list of specified provisions that apply whether the arbitration occurred in New South Wales or elsewhere. Clause 8 deals with stays on court proceedings if there is a valid arbitration agreement relating to the matter. It is appropriate that this power applies regardless of where the arbitration will occur.

The second amendment relates to clause 17I, which sets out the grounds for recognition or enforcement of interim awards. The words "of the State or Territory" were included in clause 17I (1) (a) (iii) to clarify the clause's meaning. The final amendment, to clause 20 (3), clarified that an arbitral tribunal can sit anywhere if convenient, including outside the State, for consultation, hearings or inspection of anything relevant to the proceedings. The reform of domestic arbitration legislation is particularly timely when one has regard to developments in international arbitration. Uniform national laws that reflect accepted international practice foster the regularity and certainty that is conducive to efficient commerce. In addition, the ability of Australian courts to deal with international arbitration is based on their experience with domestic arbitration.

Notably, the jurisdictions with which we compete for international arbitration work do not have different national and international arbitration laws, and nor should we. In November 2009 the Commonwealth Government introduced the International Arbitration Amendment Bill to increase effectiveness, efficiency and affordability in international commercial arbitration. Additional to these legislative changes is the opening of the first dedicated international dispute resolution centre in the central business district later this year. All this will help ensure that Sydney capitalises on the booming market in commercial arbitration environment, whether domestically or internationally.

At the April 2009 meeting of the Standing Committee of Attorneys-General it was agreed that the UNCITRAL Model Law could form the basis for the reform of domestic arbitration legislation. It was agreed also that additional provisions, consistent with the UNCITRAL Model Law, and necessary for domestic dispute management, would be appropriate. There are a number of good reasons for adopting the UNCITRAL Model Law has legitimacy and familiarity worldwide. It has provided an effective framework for the conduct of international arbitrations in many jurisdictions, including Australia, for more than 24 years. It provides a well-understood procedural framework to deal with issues such as the appointment of arbitrators, jurisdiction of arbitrators, conduct of arbitrations. Indeed, jurisdictions such as New Zealand and Singapore have based their domestic arbitration legislation on the UNCITRAL Model Law, and it has proven appropriate.

Secondly, basing domestic commercial arbitration legislation on the UNCITRAL Model Law creates national consistency in the regulation and conduct of international and domestic commercial arbitration. The Commonwealth International Arbitration Act 1974 gives effect to the Model Law in relation to international arbitrations. Many businesses, including legal ones, operate domestically and internationally, and one set of procedures for managing commercial disputes makes sense. Thirdly, practitioners and courts will be able to

draw on case law and practice in the Commonwealth and overseas to inform the interpretation and application of its provisions.

Several prominent stakeholders, including the Chief Justice of New South Wales, have advocated for or endorsed the UNCITRAL Model Law as the appropriate basis for our domestic arbitration law. Following the Ministers' agreement at the April 2009 standing committee meeting on the UNCITRAL Model Law as the way forward, a draft model commercial arbitration bill was prepared. The draft bill was sent out for targeted consultation with stakeholders. Feedback was sought, particularly on the appropriateness, adequacy and desirability of arbitrations and amendments to the UNCITRAL Model Law tailored to domestic dispute management and related matters.

Seventeen initial submissions were received. These were considered carefully and have informed the bill before the House. The Government takes this opportunity to thank all those who contributed to the development of the bill. The bill is based upon the text and spirit of the UNCITRAL Model Law. This delivers consistency with the Commonwealth's international arbitration law and the legitimacy and familiarity of internationally accepted practice. However, the United Nations Commission on International Trade Law Model Law does not provide a complete solution to the regulation of domestic commercial arbitration. Therefore, the bill supplements the model law to provide appropriately for domestic dispute management.

At the April 2009 standing committee meeting the Ministers agreed on two principles to guide the drafting of the uniform legislation. They were, first, that the bill should give effect to the overriding purpose of commercial arbitration—namely, to provide a quicker, cheaper and less formal method of finally resolving disputes than litigation—and, secondly, that the bill should deliver a nationally harmonised system for international and domestic arbitration, noting the Commonwealth's review of the International Arbitration Act 1974. The purpose of the law agreed to by Ministers is found in clause 1A (c) of the bill, which states:

The paramount object of this Act is to facilitate the fair and final resolution of commercial disputes by impartial arbitral tribunals without unnecessary delay or expense.

Stakeholders advocated for and endorsed the inclusion of a paramount object clause, noting the absence of such a provision as a weakness in the present uniform commercial arbitration Acts. I turn now to the details of the commercial arbitration framework established by the provisions of the bill. Part 1 of the bill applies the bill to domestic commercial arbitration and clarifies that it is not a domestic arbitration if it is an international arbitration for the purposes of the Commonwealth Act. Part 2 of the bill defines an arbitration agreement and requires a court before which an action is brought to refer that matter to arbitration if it is the subject of an arbitration agreement, and a party so requests. Part 3 deals with the composition of arbitral tribunals, and provides flexibility and autonomy to parties in selecting the arbitrator or arbitral tribunal to decide their dispute. It enables parties to agree not only on the number or arbitrators but also on the process by which they will be selected and how they may be challenged. It also provides a default position should the parties not be able to reach agreement.

Clause 12 sets out the grounds on which the appointment of an arbitrator may be challenged and obliges proposed arbitrators to disclose any circumstances likely to give rise to justifiable doubts as to their impartiality or independence. The jurisdiction of arbitral tribunals is dealt with in part 4, which makes it clear that an arbitral tribunal is competent to determine whether it has jurisdiction in a dispute, but also enables a party to seek a ruling on the matter from the court when a tribunal determines that it has jurisdiction. Interim measures are dealt with in part 4A of the bill, which provides power to arbitral tribunals to grant interim measures for purposes such as maintenance of the status quo and the preservation of assets and evidence. The bill also confers power to grant enumerated interim and procedural orders in addition to those contained in the UNCITRAL Model Law.

Arbitral tribunals are granted flexibility, unless the parties otherwise agree, to conduct an arbitration on a stopclock basis in which the time allocated to each party in the hearing is recorded progressively and is strictly enforced. That will enable arbitral tribunals to conduct arbitrations in a manner that is proportionate to the amount of money involved and the complexity of the issues in the matter. Similarly, clause 33B in part 6 of the bill enables an arbitral tribunal to limit the costs of arbitration, or any part of arbitral proceedings, to a specified amount, unless otherwise agreed by the parties. That will give arbitral tribunals the flexibility to cap costs on the basis of proportionality. This is another mechanism to ensure that arbitrations can be conducted in a manner proportionate to the money and complexity of the issues involved.

Part 4A also provides for the recognition and enforcement of interim measures issued under a law of New South Wales, or of another State or Territory in certain circumstances. The grounds for refusing recognition or enforcement of an interim measure are also stated in part 4A. The conduct of arbitral proceedings is dealt with in part 5 of the bill, which provides that parties must be given a fair hearing and that they are free to agree on the procedure to be followed by an arbitral tribunal. Alternatively, in the absence of agreement, the arbitral tribunal will be able to conduct the arbitration as it considers appropriate. This will ensure that parties and arbitral tribunals may be granted flexibility to adapt the conduct of the proceedings to the particular dispute before them. Part 5 includes some provisions that are additional to those stated in the Model Law to ensure that arbitrations can be conducted efficiently and cost effectively.

Clause 24B imposes a duty on parties to do all things necessary for the proper and expeditious conduct of

arbitral proceedings. Clause 25 sets out the powers of an arbitral tribunal in the event of the default of one of the parties. Additional powers to those stated in the UNCITRAL model are also conferred by clause 25 to ensure that arbitral tribunals have sufficient powers to deal with delay by parties or failure to comply with a direction of the tribunal. Clause 27A enables parties, with the consent of the arbitral tribunal, to make an application to the court to issue a subpoena requiring a person to attend arbitral proceedings, or to produce documents. Clause 27D provides that an arbitrator can act as a mediator, conciliator or other non-arbitral intermediary, if the parties so agree, to provide further flexibility for parties to agree on how their disputes are to be determined. However, if a mediation or conciliation is not successful, an arbitrator is prevented from resuming as an arbitrator without the written consent of all parties.

Part 5 also provides an optional confidentiality regime. Confidentiality is regarded as one of the key benefits of arbitration for parties that are dealing with sensitive commercial topics. The part 5 provisions have been drafted to be consistent with those of the Commonwealth Act and provide a default position if an alternative confidentiality regime is not agreed upon by the parties. As parties often assume that arbitration is both private and confidentiality. Part 6 of the bill covers the making of awards and the termination of proceedings. The UNCITRAL Model Law has been supplemented by additional provisions to deal with costs and the awarding of interest. As stakeholders overwhelmingly suggested that harmonised treatment of costs and interests across international and domestic legislation was desirable, the provisions are consistent with the Commonwealth Act.

Recourse against award is dealt with in part 7 of the bill, which outlines the circumstances in which an application can be made for the setting aside of an award, or grounds upon which parties can appeal against an award, if parties have agreed to allow appeals under the optional provision. Recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards is dealt with in part 8 of the bill, which allows for the recognition of awards irrespective of the State or Territory in which they are made, and outlines the grounds on which enforcement may be refused. The Commercial Arbitration Bill 2010 will ensure that New South Wales domestic arbitration laws reflect accepted international practice for resolving commercial disputes and will provide businesses with a cost-effective and efficient alternative to litigation. I commend the bill to the House.