



Crimes (Serious Sex Offenders) Amendment Bill 2013 (Proof)

Crimes (Serious Sex Offenders) Amendment Bill 2013

Extract from NSW Legislative Council Hansard and Papers Tuesday 12 March 2013 (Proof).

CRIMES (SERIOUS SEX OFFENDERS) AMENDMENT BILL 2013

Page: 9

Second Reading

The Hon. DAVID CLARKE (Parliamentary Secretary) [3.34 p.m.], on behalf of the Hon. Michael Gallacher: I move:

That this bill be now read a second time.

The Government is pleased to introduce the Crimes (Serious Sex Offenders) Amendment Bill 2013. The purpose of the bill is to extend the existing scheme for the continued detention and extended supervision of serious sex offenders to high-risk violent offenders. The bill also extends the scheme to offenders who committed serious offences as a child. Such offences are currently excluded from the serious sex offender regime. This extension will apply to high-risk violent offenders and serious sex offenders. The bill recognises that there are serious violent offenders in our prisons who are nearing the end of their sentence who have made no attempt to rehabilitate themselves, or who have made it very clear to authorities that they intend to re-offend when they are released. The bill responds to this very clear danger and ensures the protection of the community from a clear risk. The bill is not about undermining the decisions of judges on sentence. As noted by Professor Bernadette McSherry and Professor Patrick Keyzer, when considering how best to deal with high-risk offenders, the challenge is finding:

... a midway point between assuming that all people in a certain group are dangerous and assuming that no-one, even those who have declared their intentions of committing crimes, are a danger to others.

The bill represents a balanced response. It provides options for ongoing supervision of highly dangerous offenders—that is, those who have committed extremely serious offences and who meet a high-risk threshold. It provides for the assessment of risk, not by a superficial or mathematical exercise but one undertaken by a judge of the Supreme Court, who will be informed by the reports of clinical experts who have conducted individual examinations of the offender. The NSW Sentencing Council, in its report on high-risk violent offenders, noted that there is a gap in the New South Wales legislative framework for dealing with high-risk violent offenders. This bill closes that gap by expanding the scheme in place for sex offenders, which has been tested in the High Court. It does not try to reinvent the wheel but picks up these tried provisions and extends them to high-risk violent offenders as well.

I will now outline each of the amendments in turn. Items [1], [2] and [3] of schedule 1 amend the title of the principal Act to the Crimes (High Risk Offenders) Act and its objects, to reflect the extension of the Act to high-risk violent offenders and make consequential amendments. Item [4] of schedule 1 defines expressions used in relation to high-risk violent offenders and makes consequential changes to the existing definitions in the Act to reflect the addition of these offenders to the scheme. It also changes terminology in the Act from "serious sex offenders" to "high-risk sex offenders". The Act will now consistently apply to high-risk sex and violent offenders—that is, those who are a high risk to the community. Further, it expands the definition of "sex offender" to permit orders to be made against adults convicted of a relevant offence as a child.

At present, a sex offender is a person who has been sentenced to imprisonment following conviction for a serious sex offence, other than an offence committed as a child. Item [4] amends this definition so that offences committed as a child are no longer excluded. This expansion brings New South Wales into line with other States that have similar schemes. It will only apply to serious offences committed by children where a sentence of imprisonment is imposed. This means offences dealt with in the Children's Court are not qualifying offences, as detention by way of a control order under the Children (Criminal Proceedings) Act does not constitute a sentence of imprisonment for the purposes of the Act. The definition of "violent offender" will also capture serious violence offences committed as a child. Although the number of offenders likely to be affected by this amendment is very low, it is important that heinous crimes committed as a juvenile do not fall outside the scheme.

Item [5] of schedule 1 sets out the definition for a "serious violence offence". As the NSW Sentencing Council pointed out, defining who is a high-risk violent offender is a difficult task. The first step in the process is defining

which violent offenders are eligible for the scheme. In the case of sex offenders this is relatively simple and eligibility is defined by identifying a list of sex-specific offences. Violence; however, arises in and out of a range of human behaviours. The bill has therefore taken a different approach by describing more broadly the activity that is subject to these provisions.

For an offender to be eligible for consideration under the proposed new provisions, he or she must have committed an offence with a serious outcome, that is, the death of or grievous bodily harm to another person. That physical outcome must be accompanied by a mental element of intending to cause or being reckless as to cause actual bodily harm, grievous bodily harm or death. Recklessness as to cause actual bodily harm has been included as a reflection of recent amendments by the Government to the provisions governing reckless infliction of harm, which clarified that this is the relevant fault element for those offences.

It is appropriate that this fault element also apply for the purpose of identifying relevant serious violent offences under the scheme. The definition in the bill also accommodates the fact that in some cases an offender may not have actually caused grievous bodily harm or death. The police may have stopped the offender at the last minute or the offender may have hired another person to commit the physical act for him or her. Such people should not escape the possibility of being captured by this scheme. The bill therefore includes in the definition "any attempted conspiracy or incitement to commit an offence involving grievous bodily harm or death".

The bill represents a targeted approach to violent crime. It does not extend the possibility of continuing detention and extended supervision to every violent offender in our jails. To qualify, an offence must be a serious indictable offence. "Serious indictable offence" has the same meaning as it does in the Crimes Act 1900, that is, an indictable offence that is punishable by imprisonment for life or for a term of five years or more. This means, for example, that a person who negligently causes grievous bodily harm will not be eligible. Not only does the mental element of the offence fall short of intention or recklessness; the penalty for such an offence is only two years.

Item [6] in schedule 1 provides for the extension of the principal Act to high-risk violent offenders. Under the provisions of the bill, an extended supervision order or continuing detention order can be made by the Supreme Court in respect of a high-risk violent offender. An order can be made against a violent offender if the Supreme Court is satisfied to a high degree of probability that the person poses an unacceptable risk of committing a serious violent crime if not kept under supervision. This test replicates the existing test of risk now applied by the Supreme Court for serious sex offenders. In coming to this decision, the court must take into account the same listed factors currently taken into account in assessing an application for a serious sex offender order as relevant.

Having considered all relevant matters, if the court considers that the offender is a high-risk violent offender it may make an extended supervision order. If the court is further satisfied that the offender cannot be adequately supervised under an extended supervision order the court may make a continuing detention order. The maximum duration of either order is five years. Items [7] to [35] in schedule 1 remake the provisions of the principal Act with respect to the making and determination of applications and the variation and revocation of orders. The procedures that presently apply to applications and orders for serious sex offenders will remain essentially unchanged and will now also apply to high-risk violent offenders.

Additional measures include items [19] and [35], which require the Commissioner of Corrective Services to report annually to the Attorney General on whether he or she considers that an extended supervision or continuing detention order remains necessary. Further, items [18] and [34] clarify that the Supreme Court may revoke an extended supervision or continuing detention order if satisfied that the circumstances have changed so as to render the order unnecessary. Item [37] in schedule 1 requires a court to warn a person who is sentenced for a serious violent offence of the application of the Act. Offenders who meet the definition of a "violent offender" under the Act will be on notice from the earliest possible opportunity that an order may be sought against them at the end of their sentence if they pose a high risk of serious violent reoffending. Offenders will therefore know that there may be implications for refusing to participate in programs that address their offending behaviour.

This is in keeping with the principal Act's objective of encouraging high-risk offenders to undertake rehabilitation. The issuing of a warning under proposed section 25C does not place any obligation on Corrective Services to deal with the offender in a particular way. It will be a matter for Corrective Services to assess each offender and determine how best to address his or her rehabilitative needs. However, the opportunities given to and taken by an offender to participate in rehabilitation programs will be relevant to the Supreme Court in determining an application for an extended supervision or continuing detention order.

Item [38] in schedule 1 requires that these amendments are to be reviewed after a period of three years from their commencement. Items [39] and [40] in schedule 1 deal with savings and transitional matters. The high-risk offenders scheme will apply to sentences imposed and offences committed before its commencement. This is consistent with the serious sex offenders scheme which also applied retrospectively in this way. Schedule 2 makes consequential amendments to other Acts. We want serious violent offenders to undergo treatment, under extensive supervision, that assists them to reintegrate into the community and obey the law. This legislation will

help ensure that dangerous offenders who refuse to undertake rehabilitation during their sentence can be properly supervised in the community and detained if necessary. I commend the bill to the House.