

New South Wales

## **Defamation Bill 2005**

## **Explanatory note**

This explanatory note relates to this Bill as introduced into Parliament.

### Overview of Bill

In November 2004, the Attorneys General of the States and Territories agreed to support the enactment in their respective jurisdictions of uniform model provisions in relation to the law of defamation (the *model provisions*).

At the time of the agreement, each State and Territory had different laws governing the tort of defamation. Tasmania and Queensland codified their civil law of defamation. The other jurisdictions retained the common law, but supplemented or altered it to varying degrees by enacting differing statutory provisions. The States and Territories also had different laws governing the offence of criminal defamation. In New South Wales, the civil law of defamation is predominantly governed by the common law as modified and supplemented by the *Defamation Act 1974* of New South Wales. The law of criminal defamation is partly codified by Part 5 of that Act. The Summary of existing defamation laws at the end of this Explanatory note summarises the position in each jurisdiction in relation to the tort of defamation and criminal defamation.

The object of this Bill is to enact the model provisions agreed to by the Attorneys General of the States and Territories. The principal features of the model provisions are:

- (a) the retention (with some modifications) of the common law of defamation to determine civil liability for defamation, and
- (b) the abolition of the distinction at common law between slander and libel, and
- (c) the creation of a statutory cap on the amount of damages for non-economic loss that may be awarded in civil proceedings for defamation, and
- (d) the enactment of provisions to facilitate the resolution of civil disputes about the publication of defamatory matter without litigation, and
- (e) the delineation of the respective roles of juries and judicial officers in the jury trial of civil proceedings for defamation by limiting the role of juries to the determination of whether a person has been defamed and leaving the award of damages to judicial officers, and
- (f) the abolition of exemplary and punitive damages in civil proceedings for defamation, and
- (g) the establishment of truth alone as a defence to a civil action for defamation, and
- (h) the imposition of a limitation period for civil actions for defamation of 1 year, subject to an extension (in limited circumstances) to a period of up to 3 years following publication.

## Outline of provisions

## Part 1 Preliminary

Clause 1 sets out the name (also called the short title) of the proposed Act.

Clause 2 provides that the proposed Act commences on 1 January 2006.

Clause 3 sets out the objects of the proposed Act.

**Clause 4** defines certain terms used in the proposed Act. In particular, the following terms are defined:

The *general law* is defined to mean the common law and equity.

The term *matter* is defined to include:

- (a) an article, report, advertisement or other thing communicated by means of a newspaper, magazine or other periodical, and
- (b) a program, report, advertisement or other thing communicated by means of television, radio, the Internet or any other form of electronic communication, and
- (c) a letter, note or other writing, and
- (d) a picture, gesture or oral utterance, and

(e) any other thing by means of which something may be communicated to a person.

Clause 5 provides that the proposed Act binds the Crown in all its capacities.

## Part 2 General principles

### Division 1 Defamation and the general law

Clause 6 provides that the proposed Act does not affect the operation of the general law in relation to the tort of defamation except to the extent that the proposed Act provides otherwise (whether expressly or by necessary implication). The proposed section also makes it clear that the general law as it is from time to time is to apply for the purposes of the new legislation as if existing defamation legislation had never been enacted or made. This provision removes any doubt about the application of the general law particularly in those Australian jurisdictions in which the general law has previously been displaced by a codified law of defamation.

The proposed Act does not seek to define the circumstances in which a person has a cause of action for defamation. Rather, the proposed Act operates by reference to the elements of the tort of defamation at general law. Accordingly, if a plaintiff does not have a cause of action for defamation at general law in relation to the publication of matter by the defendant, the plaintiff will not (subject to the modification of the general law effected by proposed section 7) have a cause of action for the purposes of the proposed Act.

At general law, a plaintiff has a cause of action for defamation against a defendant if the defendant publishes defamatory accusations or charges (referred to conventionally as *imputations*) about the plaintiff to at least one other person (other than the defendant or his or her spouse). The courts have formulated the test for determining what is defamatory in various ways. Examples of these formulations include (but are not limited to) the following:

- (a) "[Words that] tend to lower the plaintiff in the estimation of right-thinking members of society generally". See *Sim v Stretch* [1936] 2 All ER 1237 at 1240 per Lord Atkin.
- (b) "Whether the alleged libel is established depends upon the understanding of the hypothetical referees who are taken to have a uniform view of the meaning of the language used, and upon the standards, moral or social, by which they evaluate the imputation they understand to have been made. They are taken to share a moral or social standard by which to judge the defamatory character of that imputation". See *Reader's Digest Services Pty Ltd v Lamb* (1982) 150 CLR 500 at 506 per Brennan J.
- (c) "In order that one person may establish against another a civil cause of action [for defamation], it is essential that he should prove (1) that a statement or other representation has been made ... of a kind likely to lead ordinary decent folk to think the less of the person about whom it is made; (2) that it was about him that it was made; and (3) that the other has published it to at least one third

- party (who is not the husband or wife of the other)". See *Consolidated Trust Co Ltd v Browne* (1948) 49 SR (NSW) 86 at 88 per Jordan CJ.
- (d) "At common law, in general, an imputation, to be defamatory of the plaintiff, must be disparaging of him ... I say that this is 'in general' the position, as the common law also recognizes as defamatory an imputation which, although not disparaging, tends to make other persons 'shun or avoid' the plaintiff ... as well as an imputation that displays the plaintiff in a ridiculous light, notwithstanding the absence of any moral blame on his part". See *Boyd v Mirror Newspapers Ltd* [1980] 2 NSWLR 449 at 452–453 per Hunt J.

Clause 7 abolishes the general law distinction between libel and slander.

At general law, libel is the publication of defamatory matter in a written or other permanent form while slander is the publication of defamatory matter in a form that is temporary and merely audible. If a matter is libellous, the plaintiff does not need to prove that he or she sustained material loss (or *special damage*) in order for the matter to be actionable. However, if a matter is slanderous, the plaintiff must usually prove special damage in order for the matter to be actionable.

The abolition of this general law distinction means that all publications of defamatory matter are actionable without proof of special damage.

The distinction has already been abolished in most Australian jurisdictions under existing law. The only exceptions are South Australia, Victoria and Western Australia.

### Division 2 Causes of action for defamation

Clause 8 provides that a person has a single cause of action for defamation in relation to the publication of defamatory matter even if more than one defamatory imputation about the person is carried by the matter.

The proposed section reflects the position at general law that the publication of defamatory matter is the foundation of a civil action for defamation and reflects the existing law in all of the States and Territories other than New South Wales. Under the existing law of New South Wales, each defamatory imputation carried by a matter founds a separate cause of action.

Clause 9 provides that generally a corporation does not have a cause of action for defamation of the corporation.

However, a corporation will still have a cause of action for defamation if, at the time of the publication of the defamatory matter:

- (a) the objects for which the corporation was formed did not include obtaining financial gain for its members or corporators, or
- (b) the corporation employed fewer than 10 persons and was not related to another corporation,

and the corporation was not a public body.

The proposed section will not preclude any individual associated with a corporation from suing for defamation in relation to the publication of matter about the individual that also defames the corporation.

New South Wales is currently the only jurisdiction to have precluded most (but not all) corporations from suing for defamation under its existing law.

Clause 10 provides that no civil action for defamation may be asserted, continued or enforced by a person in relation to the publication of defamatory matter about a deceased person (whether or not published before or after the person's death). The proposed section also prevents the assertion, continuation or enforcement of a civil cause of action for defamation against a publisher of defamatory matter who is deceased.

With the exception of Tasmania, the existing laws of the States and Territories preclude a civil action for defamation in relation to a deceased person or against a deceased person. The existing law reflects the position at general law.

### Division 3 Choice of law

Clause 11 provides for choice of law rules where a civil cause of action is brought in a court of this State in relation to the publication of defamatory matter that occurred wholly or partly in an Australian jurisdictional area. An *Australian jurisdictional area* is defined to mean:

- (a) the geographical area of Australia that lies within the territorial limits of a particular State (including its coastal waters), but not including any territory, place or other area referred to in paragraph (c), or
- (b) the geographical area of Australia that lies within the territorial limits of a particular Territory (including its coastal waters), but not including any territory, place or other area referred to in paragraph (c), or
- (c) any territory, place or other geographical area of Australia over which the Commonwealth has legislative competence but over which no State or Territory has legislative competence.

Examples of areas over which the Commonwealth, but not a State or Territory, has legislative competence include places in relation to which the Commonwealth has exclusive power to make laws under section 52 (i) of the Commonwealth Constitution and the external Territories of the Commonwealth.

The proposed section creates 2 choice of law rules.

The first choice of law rule applies where a matter is published wholly within a single Australian jurisdictional area. The choice of law rule in that case will require a court of this State to apply the substantive law applicable in the Australian jurisdictional area in which the matter was published.

The second choice of law rule applies if the same, or substantially the same, matter is published in more than one Australian jurisdictional area by a particular person to 2 or more persons. The choice of law rule in that case will require a court of this State to apply the substantive law applicable in the Australian jurisdictional area with

which the harm occasioned by the publication as a whole has its closest connection. In determining which area has the closest connection with the harm, the court may take into account any matter it considers relevant, including:

- (a) the place at the time of publication where the plaintiff was ordinarily resident or, in the case of a corporation that may assert a cause of action for defamation, the place where the corporation had its principal place of business at that time, and
- (b) the extent of publication in each relevant Australian jurisdictional area, and
- (c) the extent of harm sustained by the plaintiff in each relevant Australian jurisdictional area.

The second choice of law rule is based on the recommendation made by the Australian Law Reform Commission in its report entitled *Unfair Publication: Defamation and Privacy* (1979, Report No 11) at pages 190–191. See also Samuels JA in *ABC v Waterhouse* (1991) 25 NSWLR 519 at 536–537. As indicated in that report, the Australian jurisdictional area with which the tort will have its closest connection will generally be where the plaintiff is resident if the plaintiff is a natural person resident in Australia. In the case of a corporation, it will generally be where the corporation has its principal place of business.

In the event that each State and Territory enacts the model provisions, there is still scope for the application of these choice of law rules if a provision other than the enacted model provisions limits or excludes civil liability for defamation in a particular jurisdiction. For instance, a common statutory provision in State and Territory law is one that protects a public official or public authority of the State or Territory from civil liability for actions taken in a good faith in the exercise of statutory functions. These provisions are of general application and therefore include, but are not limited to, civil liability for defamation.

The choice of law rules enacted by the proposed section apply only the substantive law of the jurisdiction concerned. In *John Pfeiffer Pty Limited v Rogerson* (2000) 203 CLR 503 at 544–545, the High Court held that rules which are directed to governing or regulating the mode or conduct of court proceedings are procedural and all other provisions or rules are to be classified as substantive. For instance, a law relating to whether proceedings should be tried by jury would be procedural because the law relates to regulating the mode or conduct of court proceedings.

Under existing law, choice of law for defamation matters is largely determined by the general law. Under the general law, the law of the place in which a defamatory matter is published must be applied to determine liability for that publication. If the matter is published in more than one place, then there is a separate cause of action for each publication. In that circumstance, different laws may need to be applied for each different publication depending on the place of publication.

## Part 3 Resolution of civil disputes without litigation

#### Division 1 Offers to make amends

The Division sets out provisions dealing with offers to make amends for the publication of matter that is, or may be, defamatory. The provisions may be used before, or as an alternative to, litigation.

New South Wales and the Australian Capital Territory make similar provision for offers to make amends under their existing laws. The other Australian jurisdictions have provisions in their rules of court and other civil procedure legislation that provide for the making of offers of compromise or payments into court. However, these provisions tend to be available only once litigation has commenced.

Clause 12 provides that the Division applies if a person (the *publisher*) publishes matter (the *matter in question*) that is, or may be, defamatory of another person (the *aggrieved person*). The proposed section also makes it clear that the Division may be used instead of the provisions of any rules of court or any other law in relation to payment into court or offers of compromise. The Division will also not prevent the making or acceptance of settlement offers.

Clause 13 enables a publisher to make an offer to make amends to an aggrieved person.

Clause 14 provides that the offer cannot be made if 28 days have elapsed since the publisher has been given a concerns notice by the aggrieved person that the matter in question is or may be defamatory or if a defence in an action for defamation brought by the aggrieved person has been served. The proposed section also enables a publisher to seek further particulars from the aggrieved person if the concerns notice does not particularise the defamatory imputations carried by the matter in question of which the aggrieved person complains.

Clause 15 specifies what an offer to make amends must or may contain. It also confers certain powers on a court in relation to the enforcement of an offer to make amends that is accepted by an aggrieved person.

Clause 16 enables a publisher to withdraw an offer to make amends. It also enables a publisher to make a renewed offer to make amends after the expiry of the periods referred to in proposed section 14 if the renewed offer is a genuine attempt by the publisher to address matters of concern raised by the aggrieved person about an earlier offer and is made within 14 days after the earlier offer is withdrawn (or within an agreed period).

Clause 17 provides that if the publisher carries out the terms of an accepted offer to make amends (including paying any compensation under the offer), the aggrieved person cannot assert, continue or enforce an action for defamation against the publisher in relation to the matter in question even if the offer was limited to any particular defamatory imputations.

Clause 18 provides that it is a defence to an action for defamation against the publisher if the publisher made an offer of amends that was not accepted and the offer was made as soon as practicable after the publisher became aware that the matter in

question is or may be defamatory, the publisher was ready and willing to carry out the terms of the offer and the offer was reasonable in the circumstances.

Clause 19 provides that (subject to some exceptions) evidence of any statement or admission made in connection with the making or acceptance of an offer to make amends is not admissible as evidence in any criminal or civil proceedings.

### Division 2 Apologies

Clause 20 provides that an apology by or on behalf of a person will not constitute an admission of liability, and will not be relevant to the determination of fault or liability, in connection with any defamatory matter published by the person.

A number of States and Territories make provision along these lines under their existing laws.

## Part 4 Litigation of civil disputes

#### Division 1 General

Clause 21 enables a plaintiff or defendant in defamation proceedings to elect to have the proceedings determined by a jury unless the court orders otherwise. The grounds on which a court may order otherwise include (but are not limited to):

- (a) the trial requires a prolonged examination of records, or
- (b) the trial involves any technical, scientific or other issue that cannot be conveniently considered and resolved by a jury.

There is a miscellany of different provisions under the existing laws of the States and Territories about the use of juries in defamation proceedings. The proposed section, along with proposed section 22, seeks to enact uniform provisions in relation to the use of juries for those States and Territories that will continue to use juries in defamation proceedings.

Clause 22 specifies the respective roles of juries and judicial officers where defamation proceedings are tried by jury.

The proposed section provides that the jury is to determine whether the defendant has published defamatory matter and, if so, whether any defence raised by the defendant has been established. However, the judicial officer and not the jury is to determine the amount of damages (if any) that should be awarded in successful proceedings.

The proposed section alters the position at general law by withdrawing from the jury the determination of damages. Under the existing law of New South Wales, juries cannot determine defences or damages. However, the proposed section makes it clear that it does not require or permit a jury to determine any issue that, at general law, is an issue to be determined by the judicial officer. For example, at general law the judicial officer and not the jury determines whether a matter has been published on an occasion of absolute or qualified privilege for the purposes of a defence. See *Guise v Kouvelis* (1947) 74 CLR 102 at 109, 113 and 117 and *Rajski v Carson* (1988) 15 NSWLR 84 at 100–101.

Clause 23 provides that the leave of the court is required for further proceedings for defamation to be brought against the same person even if the earlier proceedings were brought outside of this State.

New South Wales makes similar provision under its existing law.

### Division 2 Defences

Clause 24 provides that a defence under Division 2 is additional to any other defence or exclusion of liability available to the defendant apart from the proposed Act (including under the general law) and does not of itself vitiate, limit or abrogate any other defence or exclusion of liability. The proposed section also provides that the general law applies to determine whether a publication of defamatory matter was actuated by malice. At general law, a publication of matter is actuated by malice if it is published for a purpose or with a motive that is foreign to the occasion that gives rise to the defence at issue. See *Robert v Bass* (2002) 212 CLR 1 at 30–33.

Clause 25 provides that it is a defence to the publication of defamatory matter if the defendant proves that the defamatory imputations carried by the matter of which the plaintiff complains are substantially true. The term *substantially true* is defined in proposed section 4 to mean true in substance or not materially different from the truth.

The defence reflects the defence of justification at general law where truth alone is a defence to the publication of defamatory matter.

Under existing law, some States and Territories require a defendant to prove more than truth in order to raise the defence of justification. In New South Wales, the defendant must prove both that the matter was true and that it was in the public interest for it to be published. In Queensland, Tasmania and the Australian Capital Territory, the defendant must prove that the publication of the matter was for the public benefit. However, in Victoria, South Australia, Western Australia and the Northern Territory a defendant needs only to prove that the matter was true.

Clause 26 provides for a defence of contextual truth. The defence deals with the case where there are a number of defamatory imputations carried by a matter but the plaintiff has chosen to proceed with one or more but not all of them. In that circumstance, the defendant may have a defence of contextual truth if the defendant proves:

- (a) the matter carried, in addition to the defamatory imputations of which the plaintiff complains, one or more other imputations (*contextual imputations*) that are substantially true, and
- (b) the defamatory imputations do not further harm the reputation of the plaintiff because of the substantial truth of the contextual imputations.

There is a defence of contextual truth under the existing law of New South Wales.

At general law, the truth of each defamatory imputation carried by the matter published that is pleaded by the plaintiff must be proved to make out the defence of justification unless it can be established that the imputations were not separate and distinct but, as a whole, carried a "common sting". In that case, the defence of justification is made out if the defendant can show that the "common sting" is true. See *Polly Peck (Holdings) Plc v Trelfold* [1986] QB 1000 at 1032. The defence of contextual truth created by the proposed Act, unlike the general law, will apply even if the contextual imputations are separate and distinct from the defamatory imputations of which the plaintiff complains.

Clause 27 provides that it is a defence to the publication of defamatory matter if the defendant proves that the matter was published on an occasion of absolute privilege. The proposed section lists, on a non-exhaustive basis, certain publications of matter that are published on occasions of absolute privilege. The publications of matter listed include:

- (a) the publication of matter in the course of the proceedings of a parliamentary body of any country, and
- (b) the publication of matter in the course of the proceedings of an Australian court or Australian tribunal, and
- (c) the publication of matter on an occasion that, if published in another Australian jurisdiction, would be an occasion of absolute privilege in that jurisdiction under a provision of a law of the jurisdiction corresponding to the proposed section, and
- (d) the publication of matter by persons or bodies in any circumstances specified in Schedule 1 (Additional publications to which absolute privilege applies).

The defence of absolute privilege at general law extends to certain parliamentary and judicial proceedings and certain ministerial communications. The privilege is described as being absolute because it cannot be defeated even if the matter was untrue or was published maliciously.

The proposed section extends the defence of absolute privilege to the publication of matter that would be subject to absolute privilege under the corresponding law of another Australian jurisdiction. This provision ensures that if a State or Territory includes a publication in its equivalent of Schedule 1, then that publication will also have the benefit of absolute privilege in all other States and Territories that enact the model provisions.

Clause 28 provides that it is a defence to the publication of defamatory matter if the defendant proves that the matter was contained in:

- (a) a public document or a fair copy of a public document, or
- (b) a fair summary of, or a fair extract from, a public document.

The proposed section provides that the defence is defeated if, and only if, the plaintiff proves that the defamatory matter was not published honestly for the information of the public or the advancement of education.

The proposed section defines *public document* to mean:

(a) any report or paper published by a parliamentary body, or a record of votes, debates or other proceedings relating to a parliamentary body published by or under the authority of the body or any law, or

- (b) any judgment, order or other determination of a court or arbitral tribunal of any country in civil proceedings, including:
  - (i) any record of the court or tribunal relating to the judgment, order or determination or to its enforcement or satisfaction, and
  - (ii) any report of the court or tribunal about its judgment, order or determination and the reasons for its judgment, order or determination, or
- (c) any report or other document that under the law of any country:
  - (i) is authorised to be published, or
  - (ii) is required to be presented or submitted to, tabled in, or laid before, a parliamentary body, or
- (d) any document issued by the government (including a local government) of a country, or by an officer, employee or agency of the government, for the information of the public, or
- (e) any record or document open to inspection by the public that is kept:
  - (i) by an Australian jurisdiction, or
  - (ii) by a statutory authority of an Australian jurisdiction, or
  - (iii) by an Australian court, or
  - (iv) under legislation of an Australian jurisdiction, or
- (f) any other document issued, kept or published by a person, body or organisation of another Australian jurisdiction that is treated in that jurisdiction as a public document under a provision of a law of the jurisdiction corresponding to the proposed section, or
- (g) any document of a kind specified in Schedule 2 (Additional kinds of public documents).

The existing laws of a number of States and Territories make provision for a statutory defence along these lines. However, the scope of the statutory defences differs in each jurisdiction.

The proposed section includes a comprehensive list of public documents within its ambit. The provision also ensures that if a State or Territory includes a class of document in its equivalent of Schedule 2, then those documents will also have the benefit of this defence in all other States and Territories that enact the model provisions.

Clause 29 provides that it is a defence to the publication of defamatory matter if the defendant proves that the matter was, or was contained in, a fair report of any proceedings of public concern. The proposed section also provides that it is a defence to the publication of defamatory matter if the defendant proves that:

(a) the matter was, or was contained in, an earlier published report of proceedings of public concern, and

- (b) the matter was, or was contained in, a fair copy of, a fair summary of, or a fair extract from, the earlier published report, and
- (c) the defendant had no knowledge that would reasonably make the defendant aware that the earlier published report was not fair.

The proposed section provides that the defence is defeated if, and only if, the plaintiff proves that the defamatory matter was not published honestly for the information of the public or the advancement of education.

The proposed section defines *proceedings of public concern* to mean:

- (a) any proceedings in public of a parliamentary body, or
- (b) any proceedings in public of an international organisation of any countries or of the governments of any countries, or
- (c) any proceedings in public of an international conference at which the governments of any countries are represented, or
- (d) any proceedings in public of:
  - (i) the International Court of Justice, or any other judicial or arbitral tribunal, for the decision of any matter in dispute between nations, or
  - (ii) any other international judicial or arbitral tribunal, or
- (e) any proceedings in public of a court or arbitral tribunal of any country, or
- (f) any proceedings in public of an inquiry held under the law of any country or under the authority of the government of any country, or
- (g) any proceedings in public of a local government body of any Australian jurisdiction, or
- (h) certain proceedings of a learned society or of a committee or governing body of such a society, or
- (i) certain proceedings of a sport or recreation association or of a committee or governing body of such an association, or
- (j) certain proceedings of a trade association or of a committee or governing body of such an association, or
- (k) any proceedings of a public meeting (with or without restriction on the people attending) of shareholders of a public company under the *Corporations Act* 2001 of the Commonwealth held anywhere in Australia, or
- (l) any proceedings of a public meeting (with or without restriction on the people attending) held anywhere in Australia if the proceedings relate to a matter of public interest, including the advocacy or candidature of a person for public office, or
- (m) any proceedings of an ombudsman of any country if the proceedings relate to a report of the ombudsman, or
- (n) any proceedings in public of a law reform body of any country, or

- (o) any other proceedings conducted by, or proceedings of, a person, body or organisation of another Australian jurisdiction that are treated in that jurisdiction as proceedings of public concern under a provision of a law of the jurisdiction corresponding to the proposed section, or
- (p) any proceedings of a kind specified in Schedule 3 (Additional proceedings of public concern).

At general law, fair and accurate reports of proceedings of certain persons and bodies are subject to qualified privilege. For example, the general law defence extends to proceedings in parliament and judicial proceedings conducted in open court. As the defence at common law is a defence of qualified privilege, it can be defeated by proof that the publication of the defamatory matter was actuated by malice.

The existing laws of most States and Territories make provision for a statutory defence along the lines of the general law defence. However, the scope of the statutory defences differs in each jurisdiction.

The proposed section extends to a larger class of proceedings than the general law defence. The provision ensures that if a State or Territory includes a class of proceedings in its equivalent of Schedule 3, then those proceedings will also have the benefit of this defence in all other States and Territories that enact the model provisions. Also, the new defence limits the circumstances in which the defence can be defeated to situations where the plaintiff proves that the defamatory matter was not published honestly for the information of the public or the advancement of education.

**Clause 30** provides for a defence of qualified privilege that is based on the provisions of section 22 of the *Defamation Act 1974* of New South Wales. The proposed section provides that it is a defence to the publication of defamatory matter to a person (the *recipient*) if the defendant proves that:

- (a) the recipient has an interest or apparent interest in having information on some subject, and
- (b) the matter is published to the recipient in the course of giving to the recipient information on that subject, and
- (c) the conduct of the defendant in publishing that matter is reasonable in the circumstances.

The proposed section lists a number of factors that the court may take into account in determining whether the conduct of the defendant was reasonable. These factors largely mirror the factors relevant at general law as stated by the House of Lords in *Reynolds v Times Newspapers Ltd* [2001] 2 AC 127. (In this regard, it should be noted that the New South Wales Court of Appeal in *John Fairfax & Sons Ltd v Vilo* (2001) 52 NSWLR 373 refused to follow the more liberal view of the general law taken by the House of Lords).

As the defence created by the proposed section is a defence of qualified privilege, it can be defeated on the same grounds as the defence of qualified privilege at general law. For example, the proposed section makes it clear that the defence may be defeated if the plaintiff proves that the publication was actuated by malice.

The defence is broader than the defence at general law because the interest that the recipient must have or apparently have is not as limited as at general law. It has been said of the New South Wales provision that "[w]hat the section does is to substitute reasonableness in the circumstances for the duty or interest which the common law principles of privilege require to be established". See *Morosi v Mirror Newspapers Ltd* [1977] 2 NSWLR 749 at 797.

The proposed section, however, alters the factors referred to in the New South Wales provision in 2 important respects. Firstly, it requires the court to take into account whether it was in the public interest in the circumstances for the matter published to be published expeditiously. The New South Wales provision limits the court to a consideration of whether it was necessary in the circumstances for the matter published to be published expeditiously. Secondly, it requires a court to take into account the nature of the business environment in which the defendant operates. The New South Wales provision does not include this factor in its list of factors.

**Clause 31** provides for a number of defences relating to the publication of matter that expresses an opinion that is honestly held by its maker rather than a statement of fact. The proposed section distinguishes between 3 situations.

The first situation is where the opinion was that of the defendant. In that situation, the defence is made out if it is proved that the defendant held the opinion, the opinion related to a matter of public interest and the opinion was based on proper material. **Proper material**, for the purposes of the proposed section, is material that:

- (a) is substantially true, or
- (b) was published on an occasion of absolute or qualified privilege (whether under the proposed Act or at general law), or
- (c) was published on an occasion that attracted the protection of a defence under the proposed section or proposed section 28 or 29.

This defence will be defeated only if it is proved that the opinion was not honestly held by the defendant at the time the defamatory matter was published.

The second situation is where the opinion was that of the defendant's employee or agent. In that situation, the defence is made out if it is proved that the employee or agent held the opinion, the opinion related to a matter of public interest and the opinion was based on proper material. This defence will be defeated only if it is proved that the defendant did not believe that the opinion was honestly held by the employee or agent at the time the defamatory matter was published.

The third situation is where the opinion was that of a third party. In that situation, the defence is made out if it is proved that the opinion was held by the third party at the time of publication, the opinion related to a matter of public interest and the opinion was based on proper material. This defence will be defeated only if it is proved that the defendant had reasonable grounds to believe that the opinion was not honestly held by the third party at the time the defamatory matter was published.

The defences, at least in relation to opinions personally held by the defendant, largely reflect the defence of fair comment at general law. However, the proposed section clarifies the position at general law in relation to the publication of the opinions of

employees, agents and third parties. The existing laws of New South Wales, Queensland, Tasmania, Western Australia and the Northern Territory make statutory provision (whether partly or wholly) in relation to the defence of fair comment.

Clause 32 provides that it is a defence to the publication of defamatory matter if the defendant proves that:

- (a) the defendant published the matter merely in the capacity, or as an employee or agent, of a subordinate distributor, and
- (b) the defendant neither knew, nor ought reasonably to have known, that the matter was defamatory, and
- (c) the defendant's lack of knowledge was not due to any negligence on the part of the defendant.

A person will be a subordinate distributor of matter for the purposes of the proposed section if the person:

- (a) was not the first or primary distributor of the matter, and
- (b) was not the author or originator of the matter, and
- (c) did not have any capacity to exercise editorial control over the content of the matter (or over the publication of the matter) before it was first published.

The proposed section also lists a number of circumstances in which a person will generally not be treated as being the first or primary publisher of matter.

The defence largely follows the defence of innocent dissemination at general law. See, for example, *Thompson v Australian Capital Television Pty Ltd* (1996) 186 CLR 574. However, the provision seeks to make the position of providers of Internet and other electronic and communication services clearer than it is at general law. For example, the provider of an Internet email service will generally not be treated as being the first or primary distributor of defamatory matter contained in an email sent using the service. Accordingly, a service provider of that kind will be treated as being a subordinate distributor for the purposes of the defence unless it can be shown that the service provider was the author or originator of the matter or had the capacity to exercise editorial control over the matter.

Clause 33 provides that it is a defence to the publication of defamatory matter if the defendant proves that the circumstances of publication were such that the plaintiff was unlikely to sustain any harm.

The existing laws of the Australian Capital Territory, New South Wales, Queensland, Tasmania and Western Australia already provide for the defence.

### Division 3 Remedies

Clause 34 provides that a court, in determining the amount of damages to be awarded in any defamation proceedings, is to ensure that there is an appropriate and rational relationship between the harm sustained by the plaintiff and the amount of damages awarded.

Clause 35 provides for the determination of damages for non-economic loss for defamation. A limit on the amount of damages for non-economic loss is imposed (\$250,000). The proposed section also provides for the indexation, by order of the Minister published in the Gazette, of the maximum amount that may be awarded as damages for non-economic loss. A court will not be permitted to order a defendant to pay damages that exceed the maximum damages amount under the proposed section unless it is satisfied that the circumstances of the publication of the matter to which the proceedings relate are such as to warrant an award of aggravated damages.

The existing laws of the States and Territories do not currently impose a cap on damages for non-economic loss that may be awarded in defamation proceedings.

Clause 36 provides that a court, in awarding damages, is generally to disregard the malice or other state of mind of the defendant at the time the matter to which the proceedings relate was published.

Clause 37 provides that a court cannot award exemplary or punitive damages for defamation.

The award of these damages is permitted under the existing laws of all of the States and Territories other than New South Wales.

Clause 38 lists some factors that a court may take into account in mitigation of damages. The list is not intended to be exhaustive.

The existing laws of a number of States and Territories make provision for similar mitigating factors, although there are differences between the jurisdictions as to the factors expressly recognised by legislation.

Clause 39 enables a court in defamation proceedings that finds for a plaintiff on more than one cause of action to assess damages as a single sum.

The existing law of New South Wales already confers this power on its courts.

#### Division 4 Costs

Clause 40 requires a court (unless the interests of justice require otherwise) to order costs against an unsuccessful party to proceedings for defamation to be assessed on an indemnity basis if the court is satisfied that the party unreasonably failed to make or accept a settlement offer made by the other party to the proceedings. The proposed section also provides that in awarding costs in relation to proceedings for defamation, the court may have regard to:

- (a) the way in which the parties to the proceedings conducted their cases, and
- (b) any other matters that the court considers relevant.

The proposed section is based on the provisions of section 48A of the *Defamation Act 1974* of New South Wales.

### Part 5 Miscellaneous

Clause 41 facilitates the proof in civil proceedings for defamation of publication in the context of mass produced copies of matter and periodicals.

Clause 42 facilitates the proof in civil proceedings for defamation of criminal convictions.

Clause 43 provides that a person in civil proceedings for defamation is not excused from answering a question, or discovering or producing a document or thing, on the ground that the answer may tend to incriminate the person of an offence of criminal defamation. However, the answer, document or thing is not admissible in evidence in proceedings for criminal defamation.

**Clause 44** provides for how notices and other documents may be given or served under the proposed Act.

**Clause 45** enables the Governor to make regulations for the purposes of the proposed Act.

Clause 46 repeals the *Defamation Act 1974* of New South Wales.

Clause 47 gives effect to the savings and transitional provisions set out in Schedule 4.

Clause 48 gives effect to the amendments to certain Acts set out in Schedules 5 and 6.

**Clause 49** provides for a review of the operation of the proposed Act to be undertaken after 5 years from the date of assent to the proposed Act.

## Schedule 1 Additional publications to which absolute privilege applies

**Schedule 1** lists publications of certain persons and bodies that are subject to absolute privilege for the purposes of proposed section 27 (Defence of absolute privilege). These publications are in addition to the publications specified in the proposed section.

The listed publications are drawn largely from the kinds of publications that currently attract the defence of absolute privilege under Division 3 (Absolute privilege) of Part 3 of the *Defamation Act 1974* of New South Wales. However, it should be noted that some of the listed publications may in any event already be partly covered by the terms of proposed section 27 (2) (a) and (b), which relate to certain publications of matter in the course of proceedings of parliamentary bodies, Australian courts and Australian tribunals. The listing of such publications in the Schedule is for abundant caution and is not intended to limit the generality of proposed section 27 (2) (a) or (b).

## Schedule 2 Additional kinds of public documents

**Schedule 2** lists kinds of documents that are to be treated as public documents for the purposes of the defence under proposed section 28 (Defence for publication of public documents). These kinds of documents are in addition to the documents specified in the proposed section.

The listed documents are drawn largely from the kinds of documents that currently attract the defence under section 25 (Copies etc of official and public documents and records) of the *Defamation Act 1974* of New South Wales. However, it should be noted that some of the listed documents may in any event already be partly covered by the terms of proposed section 28 (4) (a)–(f), which set out the kinds of documents to which the proposed section applies. The listing of such documents in the Schedule is for abundant caution and is not intended to limit the generality of proposed section 28 (4) (a)–(f).

## Schedule 3 Additional proceedings of public concern

**Schedule 3** lists kinds of proceedings that are to be treated as proceedings of public concern for the purposes of the defences under proposed section 29 (Defences of fair report of proceedings of public concern). These kinds of proceedings are in addition to the proceedings specified in the proposed section.

The listed proceedings are drawn largely from the kinds of proceedings that currently attract the defence under section 24 (Protected reports—Schedule 2) of the *Defamation Act 1974* of New South Wales. However, it should be noted that some of the listed proceedings may in any event already be partly covered by the terms of proposed section 29 (4) (a)—(o), which set out the kinds of proceedings to which the proposed section applies. The listing of such proceedings in the Schedule is for abundant caution and is not intended to limit the generality of proposed section 29 (4) (a)—(o).

## Schedule 4 Savings, transitional and other provisions

**Schedule 4** contains provisions enabling the Governor to make regulations of a savings and transitional nature. It also provides that, generally, the proposed Act will apply to defamatory matter that is published after the commencement of the proposed Act. However, the existing law will continue to apply to:

- (a) a cause of action for defamation that accrued before the commencement of the proposed Act, and
- (b) a cause of action for defamation that accrued after the commencement of the proposed Act, but only if:
  - (i) the action is raised in proceedings that include other causes of action that accrued before that commencement, and
  - (ii) the action accrued no later than 12 months after the earliest pre-commencement action accrued, and
  - (iii) each action in the proceedings arose out of the publication of the same, or substantially the same, matter on different occasions.

# Schedule 5 Amendment of Acts concerning criminal defamation and limitation periods

#### Criminal defamation

**Schedule 5.1 [4]** inserts a new section 529 in the *Crimes Act 1900* of New South Wales dealing with criminal defamation.

The proposed section makes it an offence for a person, without lawful excuse, to publish defamatory matter about another living person (the *victim*):

- (a) knowing the matter to be false, and
- (b) with intent to cause serious harm to the victim or any other person or being reckless as to whether such harm is caused.

A defendant will have a lawful excuse for the publication of the matter if, and only if, the defendant would, having regard only to the circumstances happening before or at the time of the publication, have had a defence for the publication if the victim had brought civil proceedings for defamation against the defendant.

The proposed section provides that the maximum penalty for the offence will be 3 years imprisonment. Accordingly, an offence under the proposed section will be an indictable offence. See sections 5 and 6 of the *Criminal Procedure Act 1986*.

In regard to penalties for the offence, the provisions of sections 15 and 16 of the *Crimes (Sentencing Procedure) Act 1999* should also be noted. Section 15 of that Act enables a court to impose a fine not exceeding 1,000 penalty units (currently, \$110,000) on an individual instead of or in addition to a term of imprisonment. Also, section 16 of that Act authorises a court to impose a fine on a body corporate not exceeding 2,000 penalty units (currently, \$220,000) instead of the term of imprisonment specified by the proposed section.

The proposed section also:

- (a) continues the abolition of the general law misdemeanour of criminal libel that was effected by section 49 of the *Defamation Act 1974* of New South Wales, and
- (b) provides that the continued abolition of the general law misdemeanour of criminal libel does not affect the law relating to blasphemous, seditious or obscene libel, and
- (c) provides that the commencement of criminal proceedings for an offence under the proposed section does not preclude the commencement of civil proceedings or the determination of those proceedings, and
- (d) enacts provisions that facilitate the proof in criminal proceedings for defamation of certain criminal convictions.

**Schedule 5.1 [1]–[3] and [5]** make amendments to Part 14A and section 579 of the *Crimes Act 1900* of New South Wales that are consequential on the enactment of the new section 529.

#### Statute of limitations

**Schedule 5.2** amends the *Limitation Act 1969* of New South Wales to provide that, generally, a civil action for defamation must be commenced within 1 year following the date of publication of the matter of which the plaintiff complains. However, a court must extend this limitation period to a period of up to 3 years if satisfied that it was not reasonable in the circumstances for the plaintiff to have commenced the action within the 1 year period.

Under their existing laws, both New South Wales and the Australian Capital Territory provide for a 1 year limitation period that can be extended for a limited further period. In South Australia and Western Australia actions for slander are subject to a limitation period of 2 years. In other cases and in other jurisdictions, the limitation period is generally 6 years.

## Schedule 6 Consequential amendment of other Acts

**Schedule 6** makes amendments to certain Acts that are consequential on the enactment of the proposed Act.

## Summary of existing defamation laws

### Existing civil law of defamation of the States and Territories

Jurisdiction	Applicable civil law
Australian Capital Territory	The general law applies in the Australian Capital Territory subject principally to the provisions of the <i>Civil Law</i> ( <i>Wrongs</i> ) <i>Act</i> 2002 of that Territory, particularly Chapter 9 of that Act.
New South Wales	The general law applies in New South Wales subject principally to the provisions of the <i>Defamation Act 1974</i> of that State.
Northern Territory	The general law applies in the Northern Territory subject principally to the provisions the <i>Defamation Act</i> of that Territory.
Queensland	The civil law of defamation in Queensland has been codified by the <i>Defamation Act 1889</i> of that State.
South Australia	The general law applies in South Australia subject principally to the provisions of the <i>Civil Liability Act 1936</i> of that State, particularly Part 2 of that Act.
Tasmania	The civil law of defamation in Tasmania has been codified by the <i>Defamation Act 1957</i> of that State.
Victoria	The general law applies in Victoria subject principally to the provisions of the <i>Wrongs Act 1958</i> of that State, particularly Part I of that Act.

Jurisdiction	Applicable civil law
Western Australia	The general law applies in Western Australia subject principally to the provisions of the following Acts of or applying in that State:
	(a) the <i>Libel Act 1843</i> of the United Kingdom,
	(b) the Newspaper Libel and Registration Act 1884,
	(c) the Newspaper Libel and Registration Act 1884 Amendment Act 1888,
	(d) The Criminal Code set out in the Criminal Code Act 1913, but only to the extent that the Code declares the publication of defamatory matter to be lawful. See section 5 of the Criminal Code Act 1913, Chapter XXXV of the Code and West Australian Newspapers Ltd v Bridge (1979) 141 CLR 535.

# Existing criminal law of defamation of the States and Territories Jurisdiction Applicable criminal law

	- PP
Australian Capital Territory	The law of criminal defamation in the Australian Capital Territory is contained in the <i>Defamation (Criminal Proceedings) Act 2001</i> of that Territory.
New South Wales	The law of criminal defamation in New South Wales is contained in the <i>Defamation Act 1974</i> of that State, particularly Part 5 of that Act.
Northern Territory	The law of criminal defamation in the Northern Territory is contained in the <i>Criminal Code</i> set out in the <i>Criminal Code Act</i> of that Territory, particularly Division 7 of Part VI of the Code.
Queensland	The law of criminal defamation in Queensland is contained in the <i>Defamation Act 1889</i> of that State, particularly sections 8 and 9 and Part 8 of that Act.
South Australia	The law of criminal defamation in South Australia is contained in section 257 of the <i>Criminal Law Consolidation Act 1935</i> of that State.
Tasmania	The law of criminal defamation in Tasmania is contained in the <i>Criminal Code</i> set out in the <i>Criminal Code Act</i> 1924 of that State, particularly Chapter XXIII of the Code.
Victoria	The general law offence of criminal defamation applies in Victoria, subject to the maximum term of imprisonment specified for the offence by section 320 of the <i>Crimes Act 1958</i> of that State.

### Defamation Bill 2005

### Explanatory note

Jurisdiction	Applicable criminal law
Western Australia	The law of criminal defamation in Western Australia is contained in <i>The Criminal Code</i> set out in the <i>Criminal Code Act 1913</i> , particularly Chapter XXXV of that Code.



New South Wales

## **Defamation Bill 2005**

## **Contents**

			Page
Part 1	Preliminary	<i>l</i>	
	1 Name o	of Act	2
	2 Comme	encement	2
	3 Objects	of Act	2
	4 Definition	ons	2
	5 Act to b	ind Crown	4
Part 2	2 General principles		
	Division 1	Defamation and the general law	
	6 Tort of	defamation	5
	7 Distinct	ion between slander and libel abolished	5
	Division 2	Causes of action for defamation	
	8 Single of in same	cause of action for multiple defamatory imputations matter	5

			Page
	9	Certain corporations do not have cause of action for defamation	5
	10	No cause of action for defamation of, or against, deceased persons	d 6
	Divis	sion 3 Choice of law	
	11	Choice of law for defamation proceedings	6
Part 3	Res	solution of civil disputes without litigation	
	Divis	sion 1 Offers to make amends	
	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Application of Division Publisher may make offer to make amends When offer to make amends may be made Content of offer to make amends Withdrawal of offer to make amends Effect of acceptance of offer to make amends Effect of failure to accept reasonable offer to make amends Inadmissibility of evidence of certain statements and admissions	8 8 9 10 11 s 11
	Divis	sion 2 Apologies	
	20	Effect of apology on liability for defamation	12
Part 4	Litig	gation of civil disputes	
	Divis	sion 1 General	
	21 22 23	Election for defamation proceedings to be tried by jury Roles of judicial officers and juries in defamation proceedings  Leave required for further proceedings in relation to publication of same defamatory matter	14 14 15
	Divis	sion 2 Defences	
	24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	Scope of defences under general law and other law not limited Defence of justification Defence of contextual truth Defence of absolute privilege Defence for publication of public documents Defences of fair report of proceedings of public concern Defence of qualified privilege for provision of certain information Defences of honest opinion Defence of innocent dissemination	15 15 15 15 16 18 21 22 23

### Contents

			F	⊃age
	33	Defence	of triviality	24
	Divisi	on 3	Remedies	
	34	Damages	to bear rational relationship to harm	24
	35		for non-economic loss limited	24
	36	State of rawarding	nind of defendant generally not relevant to damages	25
	37	Exemplar	ry or punitive damages cannot be awarded	25
	38		n mitigation of damages	26
	39	Damages as single	s for multiple causes of action may be assessed sum	26
	Divisi	on 4	Costs	
	40	Costs in	defamation proceedings	26
Part 5	Misc	ellaneo	us	
	41	Proof of p	publication	28
	42	Proof of o	convictions for offences	28
	43		ting answers, documents or things	29
	44	_	notices and other documents	29
	45	Regulation		29
	46		f Defamation Act 1974 No 18	30
	47 48	•	transitional and other provisions	30
	40 49	Review o	ent of other Acts	30 30
	49	Keview 0	TACI	30
Schedule	1	Additio	nal publications to which absolute le applies	31
Schedule	2		nal kinds of public documents	44
Schedule			nal proceedings of public concern	47
Schedule	-		s, transitional and other provisions	51
Schedule		_	ment of other Acts concerning criminal	<b>3</b> I
		defama	tion and limitation periods	54
<b>Schedule</b>	6	Consec	quential amendment of other Acts	60



New South Wales

## **Defamation Bill 2005**

No , 2005

### A Bill for

An Act to enact in New South Wales provisions to promote uniform laws of defamation in Australia; to repeal the *Defamation Act 1974*; to amend the *Crimes Act 1900* in relation to criminal defamation; to amend the *Limitation Act 1969* in relation to the limitation period for defamation actions; and for other purposes.

### Part 1 Preliminary

The	Legisl	ature	of New South Wales enacts:	1
Paı	rt 1	Pre	eliminary	2
1	Nam	e of A	act	3
		This	Act is the <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> .	4
2	Com		ement	_
2	Con			5
		I nis	Act commences on 1 January 2006.	6
3	Obje	ects of	Act	7
		The	objects of this Act are:	8
		(a)	to enact provisions to promote uniform laws of defamation in Australia, and	9 10
		(b)	to ensure that the law of defamation does not place unreasonable limits on freedom of expression and, in particular, on the publication and discussion of matters of public interest and importance, and	11 12 13 14
		(c)	to provide effective and fair remedies for persons whose reputations are harmed by the publication of defamatory matter, and	15 16 17
		(d)	to promote speedy and non-litigious methods of resolving disputes about the publication of defamatory matter.	18 19
4	Defi	nitions	S	20
		In th	nis Act:	21
		Aust Aust proc	tralian court means any court established by or under a law of an tralian jurisdiction (including a court conducting committal eedings for an indictable offence).	22 23 24
			tralian jurisdiction means:	25
		(a)	a State, or	26
		(b)	a Territory, or	27
		(c)	the Commonwealth.	28
		by or	tralian tribunal means any tribunal (other than a court) established r under a law of an Australian jurisdiction that has the power to take ence from witnesses before it on oath or affirmation (including a language).	29 30 31
		-	al Commission or other special commission of inquiry).  ntry includes:	32 33
			•	
		(a)	a federation and a state, territory, province or other part of a federation, and	34 35

Defamation Bill 2005 Clause 4

Preliminary Part 1

(b)	an Australian jurisdiction.	1
docu	ment means any record of information, and includes:	2
(a)	anything on which there is writing, and	3
(b)	anything on which there are marks, figures, symbols or perforations having a meaning for persons qualified to interpret them, and	4 5 6
(c)	anything from which sounds, images or writings can be reproduced with or without the aid of anything else, and	7 8
(d)	a map, plan, drawing or photograph.	9
the fo	ronic communication includes a communication of information in orm of data, text, images or sound (or any combination of these) by as of guided or unguided electromagnetic energy, or both.	10 11 12
gene	ral law means the common law and equity.	13
matt	er includes:	14
(a)	an article, report, advertisement or other thing communicated by means of a newspaper, magazine or other periodical, and	15 16
(b)	a program, report, advertisement or other thing communicated by means of television, radio, the Internet or any other form of electronic communication, and	17 18 19
(c)	a letter, note or other writing, and	20
(d)	a picture, gesture or oral utterance, and	21
(e)	any other thing by means of which something may be communicated to a person.	22 23
offer of Pa	to make amends means an offer to make amends under Division 1	24 25
-	amentary body means:	26
(a)	a parliament or legislature of any country, or	27
(b)	a house of a parliament or legislature of any country, or	28
(c)	a committee of a parliament or legislature of any country, or	29
(d)	a committee of a parliament or legislature of any country.	30 31
	tantially true means true in substance or not materially different the truth.	32 33
<i>Terri</i> Terri	itory means the Australian Capital Territory or the Northern tory.	34 35
this j	iurisdiction means New South Wales.	36

Clause 5	Defamation Bill 2005
Part 1	Preliminary

## 5 Act to bind Crown

This Act binds the Crown in right of this jurisdiction and, in so far as the legislative power of the Parliament of this jurisdiction permits, the Crown in all its other capacities.

1

2 3 4

Par	t 2	General principles	1			
Divi	sion	1 Defamation and the general law	2			
6	Tort	ort of defamation				
	(1)	This Act relates to the tort of defamation at general law.	4			
	(2)	This Act does not affect the operation of the general law in relation to the tort of defamation except to the extent that this Act provides otherwise (whether expressly or by necessary implication).	5 6 7			
	(3)	Without limiting subsection (2), the general law as it is from time to time applies for the purposes of this Act as if the following legislation had never been enacted:	8 9 10			
		(a) the Defamation Act 1958,	11			
		(b) the Defamation Act 1974.	12			
7	Disti	inction between slander and libel abolished	13			
	(1)	The distinction at general law between slander and libel is abolished.	14			
	(2)	Accordingly, the publication of defamatory matter of any kind is actionable without proof of special damage.	15 16			
Divi	sion	2 Causes of action for defamation	17			
8	8 Single cause of action for multiple defamatory imputations in same matter					
		A person has a single cause of action for defamation in relation to the publication of defamatory matter about the person even if more than one defamatory imputation about the person is carried by the matter.	20 21 22			
9	Certa	ain corporations do not have cause of action for defamation	ations do not have cause of action for defamation 23			
	(1)	A corporation has no cause of action for defamation in relation to the publication of defamatory matter about the corporation unless it was an excluded corporation at the time of the publication.	24 25 26			
	(2)	A corporation is an excluded corporation if:	27			
		(a) the objects for which it is formed do not include obtaining financial gain for its members or corporators, or	28 29			
		(b) it employs fewer than 10 persons and is not related to another corporation,	30 31			
		and the corporation is not a public body.	32			

	(3)	empl	unting employees for the purposes of subsection (2) (b), part-time oyees are to be taken into account as an appropriate fraction of a ime equivalent.	1 2 3		
	(4)	for the Act 2 corpo	termining whether a corporation is related to another corporation be purposes of subsection (2) (b), section 50 of the <i>Corporations</i> 2001 of the Commonwealth applies as if references to bodies orate in that section were references to corporations within the range of this section.	4 5 6 7 8		
	(5)	an ir publi	ection (1) does not affect any cause of action for defamation that adividual associated with a corporation has in relation to the cation of defamatory matter about the individual even if the cation of the same matter also defames the corporation.	9 10 11 12		
	(6)	In thi	s section:	13		
corporation includes any body corporate or corporation co			der a law of any country (including by exercise of a prerogative or, whether or not a public body.	14 15 16		
			c body means a local government body or other governmental or c authority constituted by or under a law of any country.	17 18		
10	No c	ause of action for defamation of, or against, deceased persons				
		A person (including a personal representative of a deceased person) cannot assert, continue or enforce a cause of action for defamation in relation to:				
		(a)	the publication of defamatory matter about a deceased person (whether published before or after his or her death), or	23 24		
		(b)	the publication of defamatory matter by a person who has died since publishing the matter.	25 26		
Divi	sion	3	Choice of law	27		
11						
••	(1)		matter is published wholly within a particular Australian	28 29		
	(1)	jurisc	dictional area, the substantive law that is applicable in that area	30		
		must	be applied in this jurisdiction to determine any cause of action for nation based on the publication.	31 32		
	(2)		ere is a multiple publication of matter in more than one Australian	33		
	(4)	jurisc	lictional area, the substantive law applicable in the Australian	34		
	jurisdictional area with which the harm occasioned by the publication					
		as a whole has its closest connection must be applied in this jurisdiction to determine each cause of action for defamation based on the				
		publication.				

(3)	occasioned by a publication of matter has its closest connection, a court may take into account:				
	(a)	the place at the time of publication where the plaintiff was ordinarily resident or, in the case of a corporation that may assert a cause of action for defamation, the place where the corporation had its principal place of business at that time, and	4 5 6 7		
	(b)	the extent of publication in each relevant Australian jurisdictional area, and	8 9		
	(c)	the extent of harm sustained by the plaintiff in each relevant Australian jurisdictional area, and	10 11		
	(d)	any other matter that the court considers relevant.	12		
(4)	Aust	the purposes of this section, the <i>substantive law</i> applicable in an ralian jurisdictional area does not include any law prescribing rules noice of law that differ from the rules prescribed by this section.	13 14 15		
(5)	In this section:				
	Australian jurisdictional area means:				
	(a)	the geographical area of Australia that lies within the territorial limits of a particular State (including its coastal waters), but not including any territory, place or other area referred to in paragraph (c), or	18 19 20 21		
	(b)	the geographical area of Australia that lies within the territorial limits of a particular Territory (including its coastal waters), but not including any territory, place or other area referred to in paragraph (c), or	22 23 24 25		
	(c)	any territory, place or other geographical area of Australia over which the Commonwealth has legislative competence but over which no State or Territory has legislative competence.	26 27 28		
	geog	raphical area of Australia includes:	29		
	(a)	the territorial sea of Australia, and	30		
	(b)	the external Territories of the Commonwealth.	31		
	same	<i>iple publication</i> means publication by a particular person of the , or substantially the same, matter in substantially the same form to more persons.	32 33 34		

Part 3		Resolution of civil disputes without litigation		,	
Divi	sion	1	Offers to make amends	2	
12	Арр	licatio	n of Division	;	
	(1)	matt	Division applies if a person (the <i>publisher</i> ) publishes matter (the <i>er in question</i> ) that is, or may be, defamatory of another person (the <i>rieved person</i> ).	!	
	(2)	any i	provisions of this Division may be used instead of the provisions of rules of court or any other law in relation to payment into court or so of compromise.	8	
	(3)	maki the n	ning in this Division prevents a publisher or aggrieved person from ing or accepting a settlement offer in relation to the publication of natter in question otherwise than in accordance with the provisions is Division.	10 12 13 13	
13	Publisher may make offer to make amends				
	(1)	The perso	publisher may make an offer to make amends to the aggrieved on.	15 16	
	(2)	The	offer may be:	17	
		(a)	in relation to the matter in question generally, or	18	
		(b)	limited to any particular defamatory imputations that the publisher accepts that the matter in question carries.	19 20	
	(3)	amei	or more persons published the matter in question, an offer to make nds by one or more of them does not affect the liability of the other hers.	2 <sup>2</sup> 22 23	
	(4)			24 25	
14	When offer to make amends may be made				
	(1)	An o	offer to make amends cannot be made if:	2	
		(a)	28 days have elapsed since the publisher was given a concerns notice by the aggrieved person, or	28 29	
		(b)	a defence has been served in an action brought by the aggrieved person against the publisher in relation to the matter in question.	3 <sup>1</sup>	
	(2)	A no	otice is a <i>concerns notice</i> for the purposes of this section if the ee:	32 33	
		(a)	is in writing, and	34	

34

		(b)	informs the publisher of the defamatory imputations that the aggrieved person considers are or may be carried about the aggrieved person by the matter in question (the <i>imputations of concern</i> ).	1 2 3		
	(3)	If an aggrieved person gives the publisher a concerns notice, but fails to particularise the imputations of concern adequately, the publisher may give the aggrieved person a written notice (a <i>further particulars notice</i> ) requesting the aggrieved person to provide reasonable further particulars about the imputations of concern as specified in the further particulars notice.				
	(4)	provi 14 da	ggrieved person to whom a further particulars notice is given must ide the reasonable further particulars specified in the notice within ays (or any further period agreed by the publisher and aggrieved on) after being given the notice.	11 12 13 14		
	(5)	An aggrieved person who fails to provide the reasonable further particulars specified in a further particulars notice within the applicable period is taken not to have given the publisher a concerns notice for the purposes of this section.				
15	Cont	Content of offer to make amends				
	(1)	An offer to make amends:				
		(a)	must be in writing, and	21		
		(b)	must be readily identifiable as an offer to make amends under this Division, and	22 23		
		(c)	if the offer is limited to any particular defamatory imputations— must state that the offer is so limited and particularise the imputations to which the offer is limited, and	24 25 26		
		(d)	must include an offer to publish, or join in publishing, a reasonable correction of the matter in question or, if the offer is limited to any particular defamatory imputations, the imputations to which the offer is limited, and	27 28 29 30		
		(e)	if material containing the matter has been given to someone else by the publisher or with the publisher's knowledge—must include an offer to take, or join in taking, reasonable steps to tell the other person that the matter is or may be defamatory of the aggrieved person, and	31 32 33 34 35		
		(f)	must include an offer to pay the expenses reasonably incurred by the aggrieved person before the offer was made and the expenses reasonably incurred by the aggrieved person in considering the offer, and	36 37 38 39		

		(g)	may include any other kind of offer, or particulars of any other action taken by the publisher, to redress the harm sustained by the aggrieved person because of the matter in question, including (but not limited to):	1 2 3 4	
			(i) an offer to publish, or join in publishing, an apology in relation to the matter in question or, if the offer is limited to any particular defamatory imputations, the imputations to which the offer is limited, or	5 6 7 8	
			(ii) an offer to pay compensation for any economic or non-economic loss of the aggrieved person, or	9 10	
			(iii) the particulars of any correction or apology made, or action taken, before the date of the offer.	11 12	
	(2)		nout limiting subsection (1) (g) (ii), an offer to pay compensation comprise or include any one or more of the following:	13 14	
		(a)	an offer to pay a stated amount,	15	
		(b)	an offer to pay an amount to be agreed between the publisher and the aggrieved person,	16 17	
		(c)	an offer to pay an amount determined by an arbitrator appointed, or agreed on, by the publisher and the aggrieved person,	18 19	
		(d)	an offer to pay an amount determined by a court.	20	
	<ul> <li>(c) an offer to pay an amount determined by an arbitrator appointed, or agreed on, by the publisher and the aggrieved person,</li> <li>(d) an offer to pay an amount determined by a court.</li> <li>(3) If an offer to make amends is accepted, a court may, on the application of the aggrieved person or publisher, determine:</li> <li>(a) if the offer provides for a court to determine the amount of compensation payable under the offer—the amount of</li> </ul>	21 22			
		(a)		23 24 25	
		(b)	any other question that arises about what must be done to carry out the terms of the offer.	26 27	
	(4)	The p	powers conferred on a court by subsection (3) are exercisable:	28	
		(a)	if the aggrieved person has brought proceedings against the publisher in any court for defamation in relation to the matter in question, by that court in those proceedings, and	29 30 31	
		(b)	except as provided in paragraph (a), by the Supreme Court.	32	
16	With	drawa	al of offer to make amends	33	
	(1) An offer to make amends may be withdrawn before it is accepted by notice in writing given to the aggrieved person.				
	(2)		ablisher who has withdrawn an offer to make amends may make a wed offer.	36 37	

	(3)		enewed offer may (but need not) be in the same terms as the drawn offer.	1 2
	(4)		enewed offer is to be treated as a new offer (including for the oses of section 14).	3 4
	(5)	to ma	ever, the time limit specified in section 14 for the making of offers ake amends does not prevent the making of a renewed offer that is n the same terms as the withdrawn offer if:	5 6 7
		(a)	the renewed offer represents a genuine attempt by the publisher to address matters of concern raised by the aggrieved person about the withdrawn offer, and	8 9 10
		(b)	the renewed offer is made within 14 days after the withdrawal of the withdrawn offer or any other period agreed by the publisher and the aggrieved person.	11 12 13
17	Effe	ct of a	cceptance of offer to make amends	14
	(1)	(inclusion acceptance) action quest	e publisher carries out the terms of an offer to make amends uding payment of any compensation under the offer) that is pted, the aggrieved person cannot assert, continue or enforce an in for defamation against the publisher in relation to the matter in tion even if the offer was limited to any particular defamatory itations.	15 16 17 18 19 20
	(2)	A co	urt may (but need not):	21
		(a)	order the publisher to pay the aggrieved person the expenses reasonably incurred by the aggrieved person as a result of accepting the offer, and	22 23 24
		(b)	order any costs incurred by the aggrieved person that form part of those expenses to be assessed on an indemnity basis.	25 26
	(3)	The j	powers conferred on a court by subsection (2) are exercisable:	27
		(a)	if the aggrieved person has brought proceedings against the publisher in any court for defamation in relation to the matter in question, by that court in those proceedings, and	28 29 30
		(b)	except as provided in paragraph (a), by the Supreme Court.	31
18	Effec	ct of fa	ailure to accept reasonable offer to make amends	32
	(1)	but i	offer to make amends is made in relation to the matter in question s not accepted, it is a defence to an action for defamation against publisher in relation to the matter if:	33 34 35
		(a)	the publisher made the offer as soon as practicable after becoming aware that the matter is or may be defamatory, and	36 37

		(b)	at any time before the trial the publisher was ready and willing, on acceptance of the offer by the aggrieved person, to carry out the terms of the offer, and	1 2 3
		(c)	in all the circumstances the offer was reasonable.	4
	(2)	In de	etermining whether an offer to make amends is reasonable, a court:	5
		(a)	must have regard to any correction or apology published before any trial arising out of the matter in question, including the extent to which the correction or apology is brought to the attention of the audience of the matter in question taking into account:	6 7 8 9
			(i) the prominence given to the correction or apology as published in comparison to the prominence given to the matter in question as published, and	10 11 12
			(ii) the period that elapses between publication of the matter in question and publication of the correction or apology, and	13 14
		(b)	may have regard to:	15
			(i) whether the aggrieved person refused to accept an offer that was limited to any particular defamatory imputations	16 17
			because the aggrieved person did not agree with the	18
			publisher about the imputations that the matter in question	19
			carried, and	20
			(ii) any other matter that the court considers relevant.	21
19	Inad	missik	pility of evidence of certain statements and admissions	22
	(1)	maki	ence of any statement or admission made in connection with the ing or acceptance of an offer to make amends is not admissible as ence in any legal proceedings (whether criminal or civil).	23 24 25
	(2)		section (1) does not prevent the admission of evidence in any legal eedings in order to determine:	26 27
		(a)	any issue arising under, or relating to the application of, a provision of this Division, or	28 29
		(b)	costs in defamation proceedings.	30
Divi	sion	2	Apologies	31
20	Effec	ct of a	pology on liability for defamation	32
	(1)		pology made by or on behalf of a person in connection with any matter alleged to have been published by the person:	33 34
		(a)	does not constitute an express or implied admission of fault or liability by the person in connection with that matter, and	35 36

Defamation Bill 2005 Clause 20

Resolution of civil disputes without litigation Part 3

	(b) is not relevant to the determination of fault or liability in connection with that matter.	1 2
(2)	Evidence of an apology made by or on behalf of a person in connection with any defamatory matter alleged to have been published by the person is not admissible in any civil proceedings as evidence of the fault	3 4 5
	or liability of the person in connection with that matter.	6
(3)	Nothing in this section limits the operation of section 38.	7

Part 4		Litigation of civil disputes			
Divi	sion	1 General	2		
21	Elec	ction for defamation proceedings to be tried by jury	3		
	(1)	Unless the court orders otherwise, a plaintiff or defendant in defamation proceedings may elect for the proceedings to be tried by jury.	4 5		
	(2)	An election must be:	6		
		(a) made at the time and in the manner prescribed by the rules of court for the court in which the proceedings are to be tried, and	7 8		
		(b) accompanied by the fee (if any) prescribed by the regulations made under the <i>Civil Procedure Act 2005</i> for the requisition of a jury in that court.	9 10 11		
	(3)	Without limiting subsection (1), a court may order that defamation proceedings are not to be tried by jury if:	12 13		
		(a) the trial requires a prolonged examination of records, or	14		
		(b) the trial involves any technical, scientific or other issue that cannot be conveniently considered and resolved by a jury.	15 16		
22	Role	es of judicial officers and juries in defamation proceedings	17		
	(1)	This section applies to defamation proceedings that are tried by jury.	18		
	(2)	The jury is to determine whether the defendant has published defamatory matter about the plaintiff and, if so, whether any defence raised by the defendant has been established.	19 20 21		
	(3)	If the jury finds that the defendant has published defamatory matter about the plaintiff and that no defence has been established, the judicial officer and not the jury is to determine the amount of damages (if any) that should be awarded to the plaintiff and all unresolved issues of fact and law relating to the determination of that amount.	22 23 24 25 26		
	(4)	If the proceedings relate to more than one cause of action for defamation, the jury must give a single verdict in relation to all causes of action on which the plaintiff relies unless the judicial officer orders otherwise.	27 28 29 30		
	(5)	Nothing in this section:	31		
		(a) affects any law or practice relating to special verdicts, or	32		
		(b) requires or permits a jury to determine any issue that, at general law, is an issue to be determined by the judicial officer.	33 34		

Defamation Bill 2005	Clause 23

Litigation		

Part 4

23			uired for further proceedings in relation to publication of same y matter	:
		in the public processame	person has brought defamation proceedings for damages (whether its jurisdiction or elsewhere) against any person in relation to the ication of any matter, the person cannot bring further defamation eedings for damages against the same defendant in relation to the error or any other publication of the same or like matter, except with the error of the court in which the further proceedings are to be brought.	
Divi	ision	2	Defences	,
24	Sco	pe of c	defences under general law and other law not limited	10
	(1)	exclu (incl	efence under this Division is additional to any other defence or usion of liability available to the defendant apart from this Act uding under the general law) and does not of itself vitiate, limit or gate any other defence or exclusion or liability.	1 1; 1; 14
	(2)	may the g is ra	defence under this Division to the publication of defamatory matter be defeated by proof that the publication was actuated by malice, general law applies in defamation proceedings in which the defence ised to determine whether a particular publication of matter was atted by malice.	1; 10 1; 18
25	Defe	nce o	fjustification	20
		prov	a defence to the publication of defamatory matter if the defendant es that the defamatory imputations carried by the matter of which plaintiff complains are substantially true.	2: 2: 2:
26	Defe	nce o	f contextual truth	24
			a defence to the publication of defamatory matter if the defendant es that:	2! 20
		(a)	the matter carried, in addition to the defamatory imputations of which the plaintiff complains, one or more other imputations ( <i>contextual imputations</i> ) that are substantially true, and	2 <sup>2</sup> 28 29
		(b)	the defamatory imputations do not further harm the reputation of the plaintiff because of the substantial truth of the contextual imputations.	30 31 32
27	Defe	nce o	f absolute privilege	3:
	(1)		a defence to the publication of defamatory matter if the defendant es that it was published on an occasion of absolute privilege.	34

Page 15

	(2)			niting subsection (1), matter is published on an occasion of ivilege if:	1 2
		(a)		natter is published in the course of the proceedings of a amentary body, including (but not limited to):	3 4
			(i)	the publication of a document by order, or under the authority, of the body, and	5 6
			(ii)	the publication of the debates and proceedings of the body by or under the authority of the body or any law, and	7 8
			(iii)	the publication of matter while giving evidence before the body, and	9 10
			(iv)	the publication of matter while presenting or submitting a document to the body, or	11 12
		(b)		natter is published in the course of the proceedings of an ralian court or Australian tribunal, including (but not limited	13 14 15
			(i)	the publication of matter in any document filed or lodged with, or otherwise submitted to, the court or tribunal (including any originating process), and	16 17 18
			(ii)	the publication of matter while giving evidence before the court or tribunal, and	19 20
			(iii)	the publication of matter in any judgment, order or other determination of the court or tribunal, or	21 22
		(c)	anoth privil	matter is published on an occasion that, if published in her Australian jurisdiction, would be an occasion of absolute lege in that jurisdiction under a provision of a law of the diction corresponding to this section, or	23 24 25 26
		(d)		natter is published by a person or body in any circumstances fied in Schedule 1.	27 28
28	Defe	nce fo	r publi	ication of public documents	29
	(1)			nce to the publication of defamatory matter if the defendant the matter was contained in:	30 31
		(a)	a pub	olic document or a fair copy of a public document, or	32
		(b)	a fair	summary of, or a fair extract from, a public document.	33
	(2)	the	law of	poses of subsection (1), if a report or other document under f a country would be a public document except for ance with a provision of that law about:	34 35 36
		(a)		ormal requirements for the content or layout of the report or ment, or	37 38

	(b)	the t	time within which the report or document is prepared, or ented, submitted, tabled or laid to or before a person or body,	2
	the non-		t or document is a public document despite that	;
(3)	the hone	plainti	established under subsection (1) is defeated if, and only if, ff proves that the defamatory matter was not published for the information of the public or the advancement of	- -
(4)	In th	is sect	ion, public document means:	ę
	(a)	recon parli	report or paper published by a parliamentary body, or a rd of votes, debates or other proceedings relating to a amentary body published by or under the authority of the y or any law, or	10 1: 12 1;
	(b)		judgment, order or other determination of a court or arbitral anal of any country in civil proceedings and including:	14 15
		(i)	any record of the court or tribunal relating to the judgment, order or determination or to its enforcement or satisfaction, and	16 17 18
		(ii)	any report of the court or tribunal about its judgment, order or determination and the reasons for its judgment, order or determination, or	19 20 21
	(c)	any i	report or other document that under the law of any country:	22
		(i)	is authorised to be published, or	23
		(ii)	is required to be presented or submitted to, tabled in, or laid before, a parliamentary body, or	24 25
	(d)	gove	document issued by the government (including a local ernment) of a country, or by an officer, employee or agency be government, for the information of the public, or	26 27 28
	(e)		record or other document open to inspection by the public is kept:	29 30
		(i)	by an Australian jurisdiction, or	3
		(ii)	by a statutory authority of an Australian jurisdiction, or	32
		(iii)	by an Australian court, or	33
		(iv)	under legislation of an Australian jurisdiction, or	34
	(f)	or or that	other document issued, kept or published by a person, body rganisation of another Australian jurisdiction that is treated in jurisdiction as a public document under a provision of a law are jurisdiction corresponding to this section, or	35 36 37 38
	(g)		document of a kind specified in Schedule 2	39

29	Defe	nces o	of fair report of proceedings of public concern	1
	(1)	prove	a defence to the publication of defamatory matter if the defendant es that the matter was, or was contained in, a fair report of any eedings of public concern.	2 3 4
	(2)		a defence to the publication of defamatory matter if the defendant es that:	5 6
		(a)	the matter was, or was contained in, an earlier published report of proceedings of public concern, and	7 8
		(b)	the matter was, or was contained in, a fair copy of, a fair summary of, or a fair extract from, the earlier published report, and	9 10
		(c)	the defendant had no knowledge that would reasonably make the defendant aware that the earlier published report was not fair.	11 12
	(3)	if, th	fence established under subsection (1) or (2) is defeated if, and only ne plaintiff proves that the defamatory matter was not published estly for the information of the public or the advancement of ation.	13 14 15 16
	(4)	In thi	is section, proceedings of public concern means:	17
		(a)	any proceedings in public of a parliamentary body, or	18
		(b)	any proceedings in public of an international organisation of any countries or of the governments of any countries, or	19 20
		(c)	any proceedings in public of an international conference at which the governments of any countries are represented, or	21 22
		(d)	any proceedings in public of:	23
			(i) the International Court of Justice, or any other judicial or arbitral tribunal, for the decision of any matter in dispute between nations, or	24 25 26
			(ii) any other international judicial or arbitral tribunal, or	27
		(e)	any proceedings in public of a court or arbitral tribunal of any country, or	28 29
		(f)	any proceedings in public of an inquiry held under the law of any country or under the authority of the government of any country, or	30 31 32
		(g)	any proceedings in public of a local government body of any Australian jurisdiction, or	33 34
		(h)	proceedings of a learned society, or of a committee or governing body of the society, under its relevant objects, but only to the extent that the proceedings relate to a decision or adjudication made in Australia about:	35 36 37 38
			(i) a member or members of the society, or	39

		(ii) a person subject by contract or otherwise by law to control by the society, or	1 2
	(i)	proceedings of a sport or recreation association, or of a committee or governing body of the association, under its relevant objects, but only to the extent that the proceedings relate to a decision or adjudication made in Australia about:	3 4 5 6
		<ul> <li>(i) a member or members of the association, or</li> <li>(ii) a person subject by contract or otherwise by law to control by the association, or</li> </ul>	7 8 9
	(j)	proceedings of a trade association, or of a committee or governing body of the association, under its relevant objects, but only to the extent that the proceedings relate to a decision or adjudication made in Australia about:	10 11 12 13
		(i) a member or members of the association, or	14
		(ii) a person subject by contract or otherwise by law to control by the association, or	15 16
	(k)	any proceedings of a public meeting (with or without restriction on the people attending) of shareholders of a public company under the <i>Corporations Act 2001</i> of the Commonwealth held anywhere in Australia, or	17 18 19 20
	(1)	any proceedings of a public meeting (with or without restriction on the people attending) held anywhere in Australia if the proceedings relate to a matter of public interest, including the advocacy or candidature of a person for public office, or	21 22 23 24
	(m)	any proceedings of an ombudsman of any country if the proceedings relate to a report of the ombudsman, or	25 26
	(n)	any proceedings in public of a law reform body of any country, or	27
	(0)	any other proceedings conducted by, or proceedings of, a person, body or organisation of another Australian jurisdiction that are treated in that jurisdiction as proceedings of public concern under a provision of a law of the jurisdiction corresponding to this section, or	28 29 30 31 32
	(p)	any proceedings of a kind specified in Schedule 3.	33
(5)	In th	is section:	34
	whet inqui	<b>reform body</b> of a country means a body (however described and her or not permanent or full-time) established by law to conduct iries into, and to make recommendations on, reforming the laws of country.	35 36 37 38

*learned society* means a body, wherever formed:

(a)	the objects of which include the advancement of any art, science or religion or the advancement of learning in any field, and	2
(b)	authorised by its constitution:	4
	(i) to exercise control over, or adjudicate on, matters connected with those objects, and	5 6
	(ii) to make findings or decisions having effect, by law or custom, in any part of Australia.	7 8
whet comp	udsman of a country means a person (however described and ther or not permanent or full-time) authorised by law to investigate plaints about the actions or other conduct of any public officials or ic bodies of that country.	9 10 11 12
	pant objects of a learned society, sport or recreation association or association means:	13 14
(a)	in relation to a learned society—objects of the kind referred to in paragraph (a) of the definition of <i>learned society</i> in this subsection, or	15 16 17
(b)	in relation to a sport or recreation association—objects of the kind referred to in paragraph (a) of the definition of <b>sport or recreation association</b> in this subsection, or	18 19 20
(c)	in relation to a trade association—objects of the kind referred to in paragraph (a) of the definition of <i>trade association</i> in this subsection.	21 22 23
sport	t or recreation association means a body, wherever formed:	24
(a)	the objects of which include the promotion of any game, sport, or pastime to the playing of which or exercise of which the public is admitted as spectators or otherwise and the promotion or protection of the interests of people connected with the game, sport, or pastime, and	25 26 27 28 29
(b)	authorised by its constitution:	30
	(i) to exercise control over, or adjudicate on, matters connected with the game, sport, or pastime, and	31 32
	(ii) to make findings or decisions having effect, by law or custom, in any part of Australia.	33 34
trade	e association means a body, wherever formed:	35
(a)	the objects of which include the promotion of any calling, that is to say, a trade, business, industry or profession and the promotion or protection of the interests of people engaged in any calling, and	36 37 38 39

		(b)	authorised by its constitution:	1
			(i) to exercise control over, or adjudicate on, matters connected with a calling or the conduct of people engaged in the calling, and	2 3 4
			(ii) to make findings or decisions having effect, by law or custom, in any part of Australia.	5 6
30	Defe	nce of	f qualified privilege for provision of certain information	7
	(1)		re is a defence of qualified privilege for the publication of matory matter to a person (the <i>recipient</i> ) if the defendant proves	8 9 10
		(a)	the recipient has an interest or apparent interest in having information on some subject, and	11 12
		(b)	the matter is published to the recipient in the course of giving to the recipient information on that subject, and	13 14
		(c)	the conduct of the defendant in publishing that matter is reasonable in the circumstances.	15 16
	(2)	in ha publi	the purposes of subsection (1), a recipient has an apparent interest aving information on some subject if, and only if, at the time of the ication in question, the defendant believes on reasonable grounds the recipient has that interest.	17 18 19 20
	(3)	of the	etermining for the purposes of subsection (1) whether the conduct e defendant in publishing matter about a person is reasonable in the imstances, a court may take into account:	21 22 23
		(a)	the extent to which the matter published is of public interest, and	24
		(b)	the extent to which the matter published relates to the performance of the public functions or activities of the person, and	25 26 27
		(c)	the seriousness of any defamatory imputation carried by the matter published, and	28 29
		(d)	the extent to which the matter published distinguishes between suspicions, allegations and proven facts, and	30 31
		(e)	whether it was in the public interest in the circumstances for the matter published to be published expeditiously, and	32 33
		(f)	the nature of the business environment in which the defendant operates, and	34 35
		(g)	the sources of the information in the matter published and the integrity of those sources, and	36 37
		(h)	whether the matter published contained the substance of the person's side of the story and, if not, whether a reasonable	38 39

			attempt was made by the defendant to obtain and publish a response from the person, and	1 2
		(i)	any other steps taken to verify the information in the matter published, and	3 4
		(j)	any other circumstances that the court considers relevant.	5
	(4)	subs	the avoidance of doubt, a defence of qualified privilege under ection (1) is defeated if the plaintiff proves that the publication of lefamatory matter was actuated by malice.	6 7 8
	(5)		rever, a defence of qualified privilege under subsection (1) is not ated merely because the defamatory matter was published for ard.	9 10 11
31	Defe	nces	of honest opinion	12
	(1)		a defence to the publication of defamatory matter if the defendant es that:	13 14
		(a)	the matter was an expression of opinion of the defendant rather than a statement of fact, and	15 16
		(b)	the opinion related to a matter of public interest, and	17
		(c)	the opinion is based on proper material.	18
			a defence to the publication of defamatory matter if the defendant es that:	19 20
		(a)	the matter was an expression of opinion of an employee or agent of the defendant rather than a statement of fact, and	21 22
		(b)	the opinion related to a matter of public interest, and	23
		(c)	the opinion is based on proper material.	24
	(3)		a defence to the publication of defamatory matter if the defendant es that:	25 26
		(a)	the matter was an expression of opinion of a person (the <i>commentator</i> ), other than the defendant or an employee or agent of the defendant, rather than a statement of fact, and	27 28 29
		(b)	the opinion related to a matter of public interest, and	30
		(c)	the opinion is based on proper material.	31
	(4)	A de plain	efence established under this section is defeated if, and only if, the attiff proves that:	32 33
		(a)	in the case of a defence under subsection (1)—the opinion was not honestly held by the defendant at the time the defamatory matter was published, or	34 35 36

		(b)	in the case of a defence under subsection (2)—the defendant did not believe that the opinion was honestly held by the employee or agent at the time the defamatory matter was published, or	1 2 3
		(c)	in the case of a defence under subsection (3)—the defendant had reasonable grounds to believe that the opinion was not honestly held by the commentator at the time the defamatory matter was published.	4 5 6 7
	(5)		the purposes of this section, an opinion is based on <i>proper material</i> is based on material that:	8 9
		(a)	is substantially true, or	10
		(b)	was published on an occasion of absolute or qualified privilege (whether under this Act or at general law), or	11 12
		(c)	was published on an occasion that attracted the protection of a defence under this section or section 28 or 29.	13 14
	(6)	some	opinion does not cease to be based on proper material only because e of the material on which it is based is not proper material if the ion might reasonably be based on such of the material as is proper brial.	15 16 17 18
32	Defe	nce o	f innocent dissemination	19
	(1)		a defence to the publication of defamatory matter if the defendant es that:	20 21
		(a)	the defendant published the matter merely in the capacity, or as an employee or agent, of a subordinate distributor, and	22 23
		(b)	the defendant neither knew, nor ought reasonably to have known, that the matter was defamatory, and	24 25
		(c)	the defendant's lack of knowledge was not due to any negligence on the part of the defendant.	26 27
	(2)		the purposes of subsection (1), a person is a <i>subordinate distributor</i> efamatory matter if the person:	28 29
		(a)	was not the first or primary distributor of the matter, and	30
		(b)	was not the author or originator of the matter, and	31
		(c)	did not have any capacity to exercise editorial control over the content of the matter (or over the publication of the matter) before it was first published.	32 33 34
	(3)	distr	nout limiting subsection (2) (a), a person is not the first or primary ibutor of matter merely because the person was involved in the ication of the matter in the capacity of:	35 36 37
		(a)	a bookseller, newsagent or news-vendor, or	38

		(b)	a librarian, or	
		(c)	a wholesaler or retailer of the matter, or	:
		(d)	a provider of postal or similar services by means of which the matter is published, or	;
		(e)	a broadcaster of a live programme (whether on television, radio or otherwise) containing the matter in circumstances in which the broadcaster has no effective control over the person who makes the statements that comprise the matter, or	
		(f)	a provider of services consisting of:	,
		. ,	(i) the processing, copying, distributing or selling of any electronic medium in or on which the matter is recorded, or	10 1
			(ii) the operation of, or the provision of any equipment, system or service, by means of which the matter is retrieved, copied, distributed or made available in electronic form, or	1; 1; 14
		(g)	an operator of, or a provider of access to, a communications system by means of which the matter is transmitted, or made available, by another person over whom the operator has no effective control, or	15 10 17 18
		(h)	a person who, on the instructions or at the direction of another person, prints or produces, reprints or reproduces or distributes the matter for or on behalf of that other person.	19 20 21
33	Defe	nce of	triviality	2:
		prove	a defence to the publication of defamatory matter if the defendant es that the circumstances of publication were such that the plaintiff unlikely to sustain any harm.	2: 2: 2:
Divi	sion	3	Remedies	20
34	Dama	ages t	o bear rational relationship to harm	2
		proce	termining the amount of damages to be awarded in any defamation eedings, the court is to ensure that there is an appropriate and nal relationship between the harm sustained by the plaintiff and the ant of damages awarded.	26 29 30 3
35	Dama	ages f	or non-economic loss limited	32
	(1)	amou defar accor	ss the court orders otherwise under subsection (2), the maximum ant of damages for non-economic loss that may be awarded in nation proceedings is \$250,000 or any other amount adjusted in redance with this section from time to time (the <i>maximum damages unt</i> ) that is applicable at the time damages are awarded.	3: 3: 3: 3:

36

37

(2)	A court may order a defendant in defamation proceedings to pay damages for non-economic loss that exceed the maximum damages amount applicable at the time the order is made if, and only if, the court is satisfied that the circumstances of the publication of the defamatory matter to which the proceedings relate are such as to warrant an award of aggravated damages.	1 2 3 4 5 6
(3)	The Minister is, on or before 1 July 2006 and on or before 1 July in each succeeding year, to declare, by order published in the Gazette, the amount that is to apply, as from the date specified in the order, for the purposes of subsection (1).	7 8 9 10
(4)	The amount declared is to be the amount applicable under subsection (1) (or that amount as last adjusted under this section) adjusted by the percentage change in the amount estimated by the Australian Statistician of the average weekly total earnings of full-time adults in Australia over the 4 quarters preceding the date of the declaration for which those estimates are, at that date, available.	11 12 13 14 15
(5)	An amount declared for the time being under this section applies to the exclusion of the amount of \$250,000 or an amount previously adjusted under this section.	17 18 19
(6)	If the Australian Statistician fails or ceases to estimate the amount referred to in subsection (4), the amount declared is to be determined in accordance with the regulations.	20 21 22
(7)	In adjusting an amount to be declared for the purposes of subsection (1), the amount determined in accordance with subsection (4) is to be rounded to the nearest \$500.	23 24 25
(8)	A declaration made or published in the Gazette after 1 July in a year and specifying a date that is before the date it is made or published as the date from which the amount declared by the order is to apply has effect as from that specified date.	26 27 28 29
State	of mind of defendant generally not relevant to awarding damages	30
	In awarding damages for defamation, the court is to disregard the malice or other state of mind of the defendant at the time of the publication of the defamatory matter to which the proceedings relate or at any other time except to the extent that the malice or other state of mind affects the harm sustained by the plaintiff.	31 32 33 34 35
Exen	nplary or punitive damages cannot be awarded	36
	A plaintiff cannot be awarded exemplary or punitive damages for defamation.	37 38

38	Fact	ors in	mitigation of damages	•
	(1)		ence is admissible on behalf of the defendant, in mitigation of ages for the publication of defamatory matter, that:	2
		(a)	the defendant has made an apology to the plaintiff about the publication of the defamatory matter, or	
		(b)	the defendant has published a correction of the defamatory matter, or	
		(c)	the plaintiff has already recovered damages for defamation in relation to any other publication of matter having the same meaning or effect as the defamatory matter, or	; 1
		(d)	the plaintiff has brought proceedings for damages for defamation in relation to any other publication of matter having the same meaning or effect as the defamatory matter, or	1: 1: 1:
		(e)	the plaintiff has received or agreed to receive compensation for defamation in relation to any other publication of matter having the same meaning or effect as the defamatory matter.	14 19 10
	(2)		ning in subsection (1) operates to limit the matters that can be taken account by a court in mitigation of damages.	17 18
39	Dam	ages 1	for multiple causes of action may be assessed as single sum	19
		than	e court in defamation proceedings finds for the plaintiff as to more one cause of action, the judicial officer may assess damages in a le sum.	20 21 22
Divi	sion	4	Costs	23
40	Costs in defamation proceedings		24	
	(1)	In av to:	varding costs in defamation proceedings, the court may have regard	25 26
		(a)	the way in which the parties to the proceedings conducted their cases (including any misuse of a party's superior financial position to hinder the early resolution of the proceedings), and	25 28 29
		(b)	any other matters that the court considers relevant.	30
	(2)		nout limiting subsection (1), a court must (unless the interests of ce require otherwise):	3 <sup>2</sup>
		(a)	if defamation proceedings are successfully brought by a plaintiff and costs in the proceedings are to be awarded to the plaintiff— order costs of and incidental to the proceedings to be assessed on an indemnity basis if the court is satisfied that the defendant unreasonably failed to make a settlement offer or agree to a settlement offer proposed by the plaintiff or	33 34 38 36 37

Defamation Bill 2005	Clause 40

_itigation of civil disputes	Part 4

	_	
	(b) if defamation proceedings are unsuccessfully brought by a plaintiff and costs in the proceedings are to be awarded to the defendant—order costs of and incidental to the proceedings to be assessed on an indemnity basis if the court is satisfied that the plaintiff unreasonably failed to accept a settlement offer made by	1 2 3 4 5
	the defendant.	6
(3)	In this section:	7
	settlement offer means any offer to settle the proceedings made before	8
	the proceedings are determined, and includes an offer to make amends	9
	(whether made before or after the proceedings are commenced), that	10
	was a reasonable offer at the time it was made.	11

Part 5		Miscellaneous		1
41	Proo	f of p	ublication	2
	(1)	adap docu prod state	document appears to be printed or otherwise produced by means ted for the production of numerous copies and there is in the ment a statement to the effect that the document is printed, uced, published or distributed by or for a particular person, the ment is evidence in defamation proceedings that the document was rinted, produced, published or distributed.	3 4 5 6 7 8
	(2)	perio parti docu	ence that a number or part of a document appearing to be a odical is printed, produced, published or distributed by or for a cular person is evidence in defamation proceedings that a ment appearing to be another number or part of the periodical was rinted, produced, published or distributed.	9 10 11 12 13
	(3)	perio	is section:  odical includes any newspaper, review, magazine or other printed ment of which numbers or parts are published periodically.	14 15 16
42	Proo	f of c	onvictions for offences	17
	(1)		e question whether or not a person committed an offence is in tion in defamation proceedings:	18 19
		(a)	proof that the person was convicted of the offence by an Australian court is conclusive evidence that the person committed the offence, and	20 21 22
		(b)	proof that the person was convicted of the offence by a court of any country (other than an Australian court) or a court martial of any country is evidence that the person committed the offence.	23 24 25
	(2)	evide infor on w	the purposes of this section, the contents of a document that is ence of conviction of an offence, and the contents of an emation, complaint, indictment, charge sheet or similar document which a person is convicted of an offence, are admissible in evidence entify the facts on which the conviction is based.	26 27 28 29 30
	(3)		section (2) does not affect the admissibility of other evidence to tify the facts on which the conviction is based.	31 32
	(4)		is section, <i>conviction</i> for an offence includes a finding of guilt but not include:	33 34
		(a)	a conviction that has been set aside or quashed, or	35
		(b)	a conviction for an offence for which a person has received a pardon.	36 37

Defamation Bill 2005 Clause 43

Miscellaneous Part 5

43	Incri	minating	answers, documents or things	1
	(1)	a docum answering thing on producti	on who is required to answer a question, or to discover or produce ment or thing, in defamation proceedings is not excused from ing the question or discovering or producing the document or in the ground that the answer to the question or the discovery or ion of the document or thing might tend to incriminate the of an offence of criminal defamation.	2 3 4 5 6 7
	(2)	discover requiren	er, any answer given to a question, or document or thing ared or produced, by a natural person in compliance with the ment is not admissible in evidence against the person in lings for criminal defamation.	8 9 10 11
14	Givii	ng of noti	ices and other documents	12
	(1)		purposes of this Act, a notice or other document may be given son (or a notice or other document may be served on a person):	13 14
		` ′	n the case of a natural person: (i) by delivering it to the person personally, or	15 16
		(i	by sending it by post to the address specified by the person for the giving or service of documents or, if no such address is specified, the residential or business address of the person last known to the person giving or serving the document, or	17 18 19 20 21
		(ii	by sending it by facsimile transmission to the facsimile number of the person, or	22 23
		(	n the case of a body corporate:  (i) by leaving it with a person apparently of or above the age of 16 years at, or by sending it by post to, the head office, a registered office or a principal office of the body corporate or to an address specified by the body corporate for the giving or service of documents, or	24 25 26 27 28 29
		(1	ii) by sending it by facsimile transmission to the facsimile number of the body corporate.	30 31
	(2)	of the ru	g in this section affects the operation of any provision of a law or ules of a court authorising a document to be served on a person other manner.	32 33 34
45	Regi	ulations		35
		or with the be prese	vernor may make regulations, not inconsistent with this Act, for respect to any matter that by this Act is required or permitted to cribed or that is necessary or convenient to be prescribed for g out or giving effect to this Act.	36 37 38 39

Clause 46	Defamation	Bill 2005

Part 5 Miscellaneous

46	Rep	eal of Defamation Act 1974 No 18	1			
		The Defamation Act 1974 is repealed.	2			
47	Savi	ngs, transitional and other provisions	3			
		Schedule 4 has effect.	4			
48	Amendment of other Acts					
		The Acts specified in Schedules 5 and 6 are amended as set out in those Schedules.	6 7			
49	Review of Act					
	(1)	The Minister is to review this Act to determine whether the policy objectives of the Act remain valid and whether the terms of the Act remain appropriate for securing those objectives.	9 10 11			
	(2)	The review is to be undertaken as soon as possible after the period of 5 years from the date of assent to this Act.	12 13			
	(3)	A report on the outcome of the review is to be tabled in each House of	14			

Schedule 1		le 1	Additional publications to which absolute privilege applies			
			(Section 27 (2) (d))	3		
1	Matt	ers rel	lating to Ombudsman (cf Act No 18 1974, s 17A)	4		
	(1)	With	out limiting section 27 (2) (a)–(c), matter that is published:	5		
		(a)	to or by the Ombudsman in his or her capacity as the Ombudsman, or	6 7		
		(b)	to any member of staff of the Ombudsman in his or her capacity as such a member, or	8 9		
		(c)	to a member of Parliament for the purposes of section 12 (2) of the <i>Ombudsman Act 1974</i> or section 127 (7) of the <i>Police Act 1990</i> , or	10 11 12		
		(d)	in a report under section 31AA of the <i>Ombudsman Act 1974</i> or under section 169 of the <i>Police Act 1990</i> , or	13 14		
		(e)	in a copy of a report previously made public under section 31AA of the <i>Ombudsman Act 1974</i> where the copy of the report is published under the authority of the Minister for the time being administering that Act, or	15 16 17 18		
		(f)	in a copy of a report previously made public under section 169 of the <i>Police Act 1990</i> where the copy of the report is published under the authority of the Minister for the time being administering that Act.	19 20 21 22		
	(2)	Omb	clause (1) (a) applies in relation to an acting Ombudsman, a Deputy budsman and a special officer of the Ombudsman in the same way applies in relation to the Ombudsman.	23 24 25		
2	Matt	ers rel	lating to Privacy Commissioner (cf Act No 18 1974, s 17B)	26		
		With	out limiting section 27 (2) (a)–(c), matter that is published:	27		
		(a)	to or by the Privacy Commissioner or an acting Privacy Commissioner in his or her capacity as the Privacy Commissioner or an acting Privacy Commissioner, or	28 29 30		
		(b)	to any member of staff of the Privacy Commissioner in his or her capacity as such a member, or	31 32		
		(c)	in a report under section 65 of the <i>Privacy and Personal Information Protection Act 1998</i> , or	33 34		
		(d)	in a copy of a report previously made public under section 65 of the <i>Privacy and Personal Information Protection Act 1998</i> where the copy of the report is published under the authority of the Minister for the time being administering that Act.	35 36 37 38		

3	Matt	ers rel	lating to Law Reform Commission (cf Act No 18 1974, s 17BA)	1			
	(1)	Without limiting section 27 (2) (a)–(c), matter that is published:					
		(a)	in a report under section 13 (6) of the Law Reform Commission Act 1967, or	3 4			
		(b)	in the course of the proceedings of, or in the course of an inquiry held by, the Law Reform Commission under the <i>Law Reform Commission Act 1967</i> , or	5 6 7			
		(c)	by the Law Reform Commission in connection with a reference to it under the <i>Law Reform Commission Act 1967</i> .	8 9			
	(2)		clause (1) (b) and (c) does not apply to a report referred to in section f the <i>Law Reform Commission Act 1967</i> .	10 11			
4		ers ari and 17	ising under Workers Compensation Acts (cf Act No 18 1974, ss 7BD)	12 13			
	(1)	Conciliation officers and conciliators					
		Without limiting section 27 (2) (a)–(c), matter that is published:					
		(a)	to or by a conciliation officer or conciliator for the purpose of any proceedings under the <i>Workers Compensation Act 1987</i> or the <i>Workplace Injury Management and Workers Compensation Act 1998</i> , or	16 17 18 19			
		(b)	by any such conciliation officer or conciliator where the matter published is a report of a decision or determination in respect of any such proceedings or of the reasons for such a decision or determination, or	20 21 22 23			
		(c)	by any such conciliation officer or conciliator where the matter published is a conciliation certificate under section 84 of the <i>Workplace Injury Management and Workers Compensation Act</i> 1998.	24 25 26 27			
	(2)	Insu	rers	28			
		Without limiting section 27 (2) (a)–(c), matter that is published:					
		(a)	to or by an insurer for the purpose of any claim or any proceedings arising from any claim under the <i>Workers Compensation Act 1987</i> or the <i>Workplace Injury Management and Workers Compensation Act 1998</i> , or	30 31 32 33			
		(b)	by an insurer where the matter published is a report of a decision or determination in respect of any such claim and of the reason for that decision or determination, or	34 35 36			
		(c)	by the WorkCover Authority of New South Wales while providing access to information under section 72 of the	37 38			

			Workplace Injury Management and Workers Compensation Act 1998, or						
		(d)	to or by an insurer pursuant to an exchange of information	;					
		( )	authorised by section 72 of the Workplace Injury Management	4					
			and Workers Compensation Act 1998.	į.					
	(3)	Refer	rences to "insurer" and "claim"	(					
			bclause (2), a reference to insurer or claim has the same meaning	-					
			has in the provision of the Workers Compensation Act 1987 or of	8					
			Workplace Injury Management and Workers Compensation Act to which the reference relates.	(					
		1990	to which the reference relates.	10					
5	Matte	Matters arising under Motor Accidents Acts (cf Act No 18 1974, s 17BC)							
		With	out limiting section 27 (2) (a)–(c), matter that is published:	12					
		(a)	to or by:	13					
			(i) a licensed insurer (within the meaning of the Motor	14					
			Accidents Act 1988 or the Motor Accidents Compensation	15					
			Act 1999), or	16					
			(ii) the Nominal Defendant,	17					
			for the purpose of any claim or any proceedings arising from any claim under the <i>Motor Accidents Act 1988</i> or the <i>Motor Accidents</i>	18 19					
			Compensation Act 1999, or	20					
		(b)	by any such licensed insurer or the Nominal Defendant where the	2					
		(-)	matter published is a report of a decision or determination in	22					
			respect of any such claim and of the reason for that decision or	23					
			determination, or	24					
		(c)	by the Motor Accidents Authority of New South Wales where the matter published is the whole or any part of the register	2					
			maintained by the Authority under section 120 of the <i>Motor</i>	26 27					
			Accidents Compensation Act 1999.	28					
6	Corts	in doc	cisions of public health organisations under Health Services						
U			f Act No 18 1974, s 17C)	29					
			out limiting section 27 (2) (a)–(c), matter that is published under	30					
			on 105 of the <i>Health Services Act 1997</i> that relates to a decision, or	3					
			easons for a decision, of a public health organisation referred to in ection.	32 33					
		mai S	Cotton.	٥.					

7	Revi	ew Co		out of proceedings of Parole Board, Serious Offenders and Serious Offenders Management Committee (cf Act	1 2
		With	out lin	niting section 27 (2) (a)–(c), matter that is published:	3
		(a)	a rep	e Parole Board or the Serious Offenders Review Council in ort or other document under the <i>Crimes (Administration of ences) Act 1999</i> , or	4 5 6
		(b)	in the	e course of any proceedings of the following bodies:	7
			(i)	the Parole Board or a Division or a committee of that Board,	8 9
			(ii)	the Serious Offenders Review Council or a Division or a committee of that Council,	10 11
			(iii)	the Serious Offenders Management Committee or a subcommittee of that Committee, or	12 13
		(c)		body referred to in paragraph (b) in a report of any endings referred to in that paragraph.	14 15
8	8 Matters relating to Inspector-General of Corrective Services (cf Act No 18 1974, s 17CB)				
	Without limiting section 27 (2) (a)–(c), matter that is published:				
		(a)		by the Inspector-General of Corrective Services in his or her city as the Inspector-General of Corrective Services, or	19 20
		(b)		by a member of staff of the Inspector-General in his or her city as such a member.	21 22
9	Matte s 17D	ers ari	ising u	under Anti-Discrimination Act 1977 (cf Act No 18 1974,	23 24
	(1)		ose of	niting section 27 (2) (a)–(c), matter that is published for the the execution or administration of the <i>Anti-Discrimination</i>	25 26 27
		(a)	to or	by a member of the Administrative Decisions Tribunal, or	28
		(b)		by a member of the Anti-Discrimination Board constituted r the <i>Anti-Discrimination Act 1977</i> , or	29 30
		(c)	office	by the President of the Anti-Discrimination Board, or any er of the President, to the Registrar of the Administrative sions Tribunal, or	31 32 33
		(d)	Publi or en	member of staff of a Department within the meaning of the <i>ic Sector Employment and Management Act 2002</i> appointed inployed to assist in the execution or administration of the <i>Discrimination Act 1977</i> , or	34 35 36 37

		(e)	to or by the Director of Equal Opportunity in Public Employment appointed under the <i>Anti-Discrimination Act 1977</i> .	1
	(2)	With	out limiting section 27 (2) (a)–(c), matter that is published in:	3
		(a)	a report referred to in section 94A (2) of the <i>Anti-Discrimination Act 1977</i> of the President of the Anti-Discrimination Board constituted under that Act made to the Administrative Decisions Tribunal, or	4 5 6
		(b)	a report referred to in section 120 (2), 121, 122 or 122R (b) of that Act to the Minister administering that Act.	8
10	<b>App</b> s 17E	eals u	nder Racing Appeals Tribunal Act 1983 (cf Act No 18 1974,	10 11
		With	out limiting section 27 (2) (a)–(c), matter that is published:	12
		(a)	in the course of an appeal under the Racing Appeals Tribunal Act 1983, or	13 14
		(b)	by the Racing Appeals Tribunal in an official report of its decision in respect of any such appeal or of the reasons of that Tribunal for a decision.	15 16 17
11	Matt s 170	ers ari	ising under Thoroughbred Racing Act 1996 (cf Act No 18 1974,	18 19
		With	out limiting section 27 (2) (a)–(c), matter that is published:	20
		(a)	in the course of proceedings in respect of an inquiry conducted by Racing New South Wales, or	21 22
		(b)	by Racing New South Wales in a report it makes in respect of such an inquiry.	23 24
12	Matt	ers ari 2004 (d	ising under Greyhound and Harness Racing Administration of Act No 18 1974, s 17E)	25
		With	out limiting section 27 (2) (a)–(c), matter that is published:	26
		(a)	in the course of an appeal under the <i>Greyhound and Harness Racing Administration Act 2004</i> , or	27 28
		(b)	by the Greyhound and Harness Racing Appeals Tribunal or the Greyhound and Harness Racing Regulatory Authority in an official report of its decision in respect of any such appeal or of the reasons for its decision, or	29 30 31 32
		(c)	in the course of proceedings in respect of an inquiry conducted by that Authority in the exercise of its regulatory functions (including the exercise of any such function by a steward of that Authority), or	33 34 35 36

		(d)	by that Authority in a report it makes in respect of such an inquiry.	1 2				
13	Matt	ers re	elating to farm produce sellers (cf Act No 18 1974, s 17EA)	3				
		With notic	hout limiting section 27 (2) (a)–(c), matter that is published in a ce under section 47 or 48 of the <i>Farm Produce Act 1983</i> .	4 5				
14	Matters arising under Legal Aid Commission Act 1979 (cf Act No 18 1974, s 17F)							
		purp	hout limiting section 27 (2) (a)–(c), matter that is published for the cose of the execution or administration of the <i>Legal Aid Commission</i> 1979:	8 9 10				
		(a)	to or by the Legal Aid Commission of New South Wales constituted under that Act, or	11 12				
		(b)	to or by a member of staff of the Commission or a committee established under that Act.	13 14				
15	Matt	ers ar	rising under Medical Practice Act 1992 (cf Act No 18 1974, s 17FA)	15				
	(1)	With	hout limiting section 27 (2) (a)–(c), matter that is published:	16				
		(a)	to or by any of the following for the purpose of the assessment or referral of a complaint or other matter or the holding of any inquiry, performance review, investigation or appeal under the <i>Medical Practice Act 1992</i> :	17 18 19 20				
			(i) the New South Wales Medical Board,	21				
			(ii) an Impaired Registrants Panel,	22				
			(iii) a Performance Review Panel,	23				
			(iv) a Professional Standards Committee,	24				
			(v) the Medical Tribunal,	25				
			(vi) a member of any of the bodies referred to in subparagraphs (i)–(v),	26 27				
			(vii) an assessor, or	28				
		(b)	by a body or person referred to in paragraph (a) where the matter published is a report of a decision or determination in respect of a complaint or other matter or any inquiry, performance review, investigation or appeal, or of the reasons for such a decision or determination.	29 30 31 32 33				
	(2)	In th	nis clause:	34				
		(a)	a reference to the New South Wales Medical Board includes a reference to a committee of the Board, and	35 36				

	(b)		erence to a member of the Board includes a reference to a ber of any such committee.	1 2		
16	<b>Matters ar</b> s 17G)	ising u	under Coal Mines Regulation Act 1982 (cf Act No 18 1974,	3 4		
	With	out lin	niting section 27 (2) (a)–(c), matter that is published:	5		
	(a)	or a I	report by an inspector, a mine safety officer, an investigator Board of Inquiry, under the <i>Coal Mines Regulation Act 1982</i> , or by the Minister administering that Act or the ector-General of the Department of Primary Industries, or	6 7 8 9		
	(b)	by a	Board of Inquiry in the course of a special inquiry under the <i>Mines Regulation Act 1982</i> .	10 11		
17	Matters ar	ising u	under Mines Inspection Act 1901 (cf Act No 18 1974, s 17U)	12		
	Without limiting section 27 (2) (a)–(c), matter that is published:					
	(a)	in a r or a I by th	report by an inspector, a mine safety officer, an investigator Board of Inquiry, under the <i>Mines Inspection Act 1901</i> , to or le Minister administering that Act or the Director-General of Department of Primary Industries, or	14 15 16 17		
	(b)	by a	Board of Inquiry in the course of a special inquiry under the as Inspection Act 1901.	18 19		
18	Matters ar	ising u	under Legal Profession Act 2004 (cf Act No 18 1974, s 17J)	20		
	With	out lin	niting section 27 (2) (a)–(c), matter that is published:	21		
	(a)	refer	r by any of the following for the purpose of the making or real of a complaint, or the investigation, hearing or review of mplaint, under Chapter 4 of the <i>Legal Profession Act 2004</i> :			
		(i)	the Bar Council,	25		
		(ii)	a member of the Bar Council as such a member,	26		
		(iii)	a committee or subcommittee of the Bar Council, or any member of a committee or subcommittee of the Bar Council,	27 28 29		
		(iv)	the Law Society Council,	30		
		(v)	a member of the Law Society Council in his or her capacity as such a member,	31 32		
		(vi)	a committee or subcommittee of the Law Society Council, or any member of a committee or subcommittee of the Law Society Council,	33 34 35		
		(vii)	the Bar Association,	36		
		(viii)	the Law Society,	37		
		(ix)	the Legal Services Commissioner,	38		

			(x) any member of the staff of any of the above as such a member, or	1		
		(b)	by a body or person referred to in paragraph (a) where the matter published is a report of the decision or determination of the body or person in respect of a complaint, or of the reasons for such a decision or determination, under Chapter 4 of the <i>Legal Profession Act 2004</i> , or	; ; ;		
		(c)	by a person or body referred to in paragraph (a) to such a person or body, where the matter published is information that is published in accordance with the exercise of functions under Chapter 2 or Part 7.3 of the <i>Legal Profession Act 2004</i> , or	8 9 10 1		
		(d)	by a person or body referred to in paragraph (a) in a report of the decision or determination of the Bar Council or the Law Society Council in respect of the refusal to issue, cancellation or suspension of a practising certificate.	12 13 14 18		
19			ising under Independent Commission Against Corruption Act t No 18 1974, s 17K)	16		
	(1)	With	nout limiting section 27 (2) (a)–(c), matter that is published:	17		
		(a)	to or by the Independent Commission Against Corruption, or	18		
		(b)	to or by the Commissioner for the Commission as Commissioner, or	19 20		
		(c)	to or by the Inspector of the Independent Commission Against Corruption as Inspector, or	2 <sup>2</sup>		
		(d)	to any officer of the Commission or officer of the Inspector (within the meaning of the <i>Independent Commission Against Corruption Act 1988</i> ) as such an officer.	25 24 25		
	(2)	inqui inqui Corr	clause applies in relation to any compulsory examination or public iry before the Independent Commission Against Corruption or iry before the Inspector of the Independent Commission Against uption or any other matter relating to the powers, authorities, duties nctions of the Commission or Inspector.	26 27 28 29 30		
20	Matters arising under New South Wales Crime Commission Act 1985 (cf Act No 18 1974, s 17L)					
	(1)		nout limiting section 27 (2) (a)–(c), matter that is published:	32		
	` /	(a)	to or by the New South Wales Crime Commission, or	33		
		(b)	to any member of the Commission or member of the staff of the Commission in his or her capacity as such a member.	34 35		

	(2)	Wale	clause applies in relation to any hearing before the New South es Crime Commission or any other matter relating to the powers, orities, duties or functions of the Commission.	1 2 3		
21			ising under Independent Pricing and Regulatory Tribunal Act t No 18 1974, s 17M)	4		
	(1)	With	nout limiting section 27 (2) (a)–(c), matter that is published:	5		
		(a)	to or by the Independent Pricing and Regulatory Tribunal of New South Wales, or	6 7		
		(b)	to any member of the Tribunal or member of the staff of the Tribunal in his or her capacity as such a member.	8 9		
	(2)	Prici	clause applies in relation to any hearing before the Independent ng and Regulatory Tribunal of New South Wales or any other er relating to the powers, authorities, duties or functions of the unal.	10 11 12 13		
22	Matte	ers ar	ising under Casino Control Act 1992 (cf Act No 18 1974, s 17N)	14		
		the N at an	Now South Wales Casino Control Authority, or the person presiding inquiry under section 143 of the <i>Casino Control Act 1992</i> , for the ose of such an inquiry.	15 16 17 18		
23	Matte	ers re	lating to HomeFund Commissioner (cf Act No 18 1974, s 170)	19		
		With	nout limiting section 27 (2) (a)–(c), matter that is published:	20		
		(a)	to or by the HomeFund Commissioner or an acting HomeFund Commissioner in his or her capacity as the HomeFund Commissioner or an acting HomeFund Commissioner, or	21 22 23		
		(b)	to any member of the staff of the HomeFund Commissioner in his or her capacity as such a member, or	24 25		
		(c)	in a report under section 34 (3) of the <i>HomeFund Commissioner Act 1993</i> , or	26 27		
		(d)	in a copy of a report previously made public under section 34 (3) of the <i>HomeFund Commissioner Act 1993</i> where the copy of the report is published under the authority of the Minister for the time being administering that Act.	28 29 30 31		
24	Matte	ers ar	ising under Protected Estates Act 1983 (cf Act No 18 1974, s 17P)	32		
	Without limiting section 27 (2) (a)–(c), matter that is published in a report to the Protective Commissioner under section 79 of the <i>Protected Estates Act 1983</i> .					

O . I I I 4	A . I . I . I . I				1			
Schedule 1	Addit	onal r	oublicatio	กดาเก	Which	SHEALITE	nrivillaga	anniia
ochedule i	Auuii	uliai l	Judiicalidi	เอเบ	WILL	absolute	DITAILERE	applies

25	Matters arising under Public Finance and Audit Act 1983 (cf Act No 18 1974, s 17Q)					
		With	nout limiting section 27 (2) (a)–(c), matter that is published:	3		
		(a)	to or by the Auditor-General in his or her capacity as Auditor-General of a disclosure made in relation to a complaint under Division 7 of Part 3 of the <i>Public Finance and Audit Act 1983</i> , or	4 5 6 7		
		(b)	to or by a member of staff of the Audit Office in his or her capacity as such a member of a disclosure made in relation to a complaint under Division 7 of Part 3 of the <i>Public Finance and Audit Act 1983</i> .	8 9 10 11		
26	Matte s 170		ising under Protected Disclosures Act 1994 (cf Act No 18 1974,	12 13		
	(1)	a pub of the offic cond mone	out limiting section 27 (2) (a)–(c), matter that is published to or by polic official or public authority referred to in section 8 (1) (b) or (c) to the Protected Disclosures Act 1994 of a disclosure made to the public ial or public authority in relation to an allegation of corrupt fuct, maladministration or serious and substantial waste of public to the purpose of local government money if the publication is for the purpose vestigating that allegation.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20		
	(2)	In th	is clause:	21		
	, ,		I government money includes all revenue, loans and other money octed, received or held by, for or on account of:	22 23		
		(a)	a council, or	24		
		(b)	a county council,	25		
		with	in the meaning of the Local Government Act 1993.	26		
27	Matte s 17R		ising under Health Care Complaints Act 1993 (cf Act No 18 1974,	27 28		
		With	out limiting section 27 (2) (a)–(c), matter that is published:	29		
		(a)	to or by the Health Care Complaints Commission of or concerning a complaint by a complainant under the <i>Health Care Complaints Act 1993</i> , or	30 31 32		
		(b)	to or by a conciliator for the purpose of the conciliation of a complaint under the <i>Health Care Complaints Act 1993</i> , or	33 34		
		(c)	by any such conciliator in a report, or while furnishing information, under section 53 or 54 of the <i>Health Care Complaints Act 1993</i> , or	35 36 37		
		(d)	in a report made under section 30 of the <i>Health Care Complaints Act 1993</i> (or that section as applied by section 61 of that Act), or	38 39		

		(e)	in a report made under section 62 (1) of the <i>Health Care Complaints Act 1993</i> by the Health Care Complaints Commission constituted under that Act.	1 2 3
28		e <b>rs ari</b> s 17S)	sing under Police Integrity Commission Act 1996 (cf Act No 18	4 5
	(1)	With	out limiting section 27 (2) (a)–(c), matter that is published:	6
		(a)	to or by the Police Integrity Commission, or	7
		(b)	to or by the Commissioner for the Police Integrity Commission in his or her capacity as Commissioner, or	8
		(c)	to or by the Inspector of the Police Integrity Commission in his or her capacity as Inspector, or	10 11
		(d)	to any officer of the Commission or officer of the Inspector (within the meaning of the <i>Police Integrity Commission Act 1996</i> ) in his or her capacity as such an officer.	12 13 14
	(2)	Com other	clause applies in relation to any hearing before the Police Integrity mission or Inspector of the Police Integrity Commission or any matter relating to the powers, authorities, duties or functions of the mission or Inspector.	15 16 17 18
29			ating to Local Government Pecuniary Interest and ry Tribunal (cf Act No 18 1974, s 17T)	19
	(1)	With	out limiting section 27 (2) (a)–(c), matter that is published:	20
		(a)	to or by the Local Government Pecuniary Interest and Disciplinary Tribunal constituted under the <i>Local Government Act 1993</i> for the purpose of the execution or administration of that Act, or	21 22 23 24
		(b)	by that Tribunal in an official report of a decision of that Tribunal or of the reasons of that Tribunal for a decision.	25 26
	(2)	the I	out limiting section 27 (2) (a)–(c), matter that is published to or by Department of Local Government or the Director-General of that artment if the matter is contained in:	27 28 29
		(a)	an official report of a decision of the Local Government Pecuniary Interest and Disciplinary Tribunal, or	30 31
		(b)	a statement of a decision of that Tribunal or of the reasons of that Tribunal for a decision,	32 33
			ided or made public by the Tribunal under section 484 (3) of the <i>l Government Act 1993</i> .	34 35

30			ising under Administrative Decisions Tribunal Act 1997 (cf Act s 17TA)	1 2
		the <i>Deci</i> Trib	Administrative Decisions Tribunal under the <i>Administrative</i> sions Tribunal Act 1997 (including matter that is published by that unal in an official report of a decision of that Tribunal or of the ons of that Tribunal for a decision).	3 4 5 6 7
31	Matters relating to Aboriginal Land Councils Pecuniary Interest Tribunal (cf Act No 18 1974, s 17TB)			
	(1)		nout limiting section 27 (2) (a)–(c), matter that is published:	8
	( )	(a)	to or by the Aboriginal Land Councils Pecuniary Interest Tribunal constituted under the <i>Aboriginal Land Rights Act 1983</i> for the purpose of the execution or administration of that Act, or	10 11 12
		(b)	by that Tribunal in an official report of a decision of that Tribunal or of the reasons of that Tribunal for a decision.	13 14
	(2)	the I	nout limiting section 27 (2) (a)–(c), matter that is published to or by Department of Aboriginal Affairs or the Director-General of that artment if the matter is contained in:	15 16 17
		(a)	an official report of a decision of the Aboriginal Land Councils Pecuniary Interest Tribunal, or	18 19
		(b)	a statement of a decision of that Tribunal or of the reasons of that Tribunal for a decision,	20 21
			ided or made public by the Tribunal under section 213 (3) of the riginal Land Rights Act 1983.	22 23
32	Matt	ers ar	ising under Surveying Act 2002 (cf Act No 18 1974, s 17JA)	24
		With	nout limiting section 27 (2) (a)–(c), matter that is published:	25
		(a)	to or by any of the following:	26
			(i) the Board of Surveying and Spatial Information,	27
			(ii) a member of that Board as such a member,	28
			(iii) a committee or subcommittee of that Board, or any member of a committee or subcommittee of that Board,	29 30
			for the purpose of the making or referral of a complaint of	31
			professional incompetence or professional misconduct, or the investigation of such a complaint, made in relation to a registered	32 33
			surveyor under the <i>Surveying Act 2002</i> , or	33 34

(b)	by a body or person referred to in paragraph (a) of a report of the	1
	decision or determination of the body or person in respect of a	2
	complaint, or of the reasons for such a decision or determination,	3
	made in relation to a registered surveyor under the Surveying Act	4
	2002.	5

Scł	nedule 2	Additional kinds of public documents	1	
		(Section 28 (4) (g))	2	
1	<b>Documents arising under Medical Practice Act 1992</b> (cf Act No 18 1974, cl 3 (3A) of Sch 2)			
	With repor	out limiting section 28 (4) (a)–(f), a document that consists of a rt made by:	5 6	
	(a)	the New South Wales Medical Board, or	7	
	(b)	a Professional Standards Committee, or	8	
	(c)	the Medical Tribunal,	9	
	or ap	decision or determination in respect of a complaint or an inquiry speal, and of the reasons for that decision or determination, under <i>Medical Practice Act 1992</i> .	10 11 12	
2	<b>Documents arising under Legal Profession Act 2004</b> (cf Act No 18 1974, cl 3 (5) of Sch 2)			
		out limiting section 28 (4) (a)–(f), a document that consists of a rt made by:	15 16	
	(a)	the Bar Council, or	17	
	(b)	the Law Society Council, or	18	
	(c)	the Legal Services Commissioner,	19	
	of the decision or determination of that body or person in respect of a complaint, and of the reasons for that decision or determination, under Chapter 4 of the <i>Legal Profession Act 2004</i> .			
3	Documents cl 3 (6) of Sc	s arising under Workers Compensation Acts (cf Act No 18 1974, h 2)	23 24	
	With	out limiting section 28 (4) (a)–(f), a document that consists of:	25	
	(a)	a report made by a conciliation officer, conciliator or member of the Workers Compensation Commission of New South Wales of his or her decision or determination in respect of any proceedings under the <i>Workers Compensation Act 1987</i> or the <i>Workplace</i> <i>Injury Management and Workers Compensation Act 1998</i> , or	26 27 28 29 30	
	(b)	a conciliation certificate under the section 98D of the <i>Workers Compensation Act 1987</i> or section 84 of the <i>Workplace Injury Management and Workers Compensation Act 1998</i> .	31 32 33	
4	Documents Disciplinar	s relating to Local Government Pecuniary Interest and ry Tribunal (cf Act No 18 1974, cl 3 (7) of Sch 2)	34	
		out limiting section 28 (4) (a)–(f), a document that consists of a sion (including reasons given for the decision) made by the Local	35 36	

	Government Pecuniary Interest and Disciplinary Tribunal under the Local Government Act 1993.	1
5	<b>Documents relating to Administrative Decisions Tribunal</b> (cf Act No 18 1974, cl 3 (8) of Sch 2)	3 4
	Without limiting section 28 (4) (a)–(f), a document that consists of a report made by the Administrative Decisions Tribunal of the decision of that Tribunal in respect of any proceedings before it, and of the reasons for that decision, under the <i>Administrative Decisions Tribunal Act 1997</i> .	5 6 7 8
6	Documents relating to Aboriginal Land Councils Pecuniary Interest Tribunal (cf Act No 18 1974, cl 3 (9) of Sch 2)	9
	Without limiting section 28 (4) (a)–(f), a document that consists of a decision (including reasons given for the decision) made by the Aboriginal Land Councils Pecuniary Interest Tribunal under the Aboriginal Land Rights Act 1983.	10 11 12 13
7	Documents relating to Special Commissions of Inquiry	14
	Without limiting section 28 (4) (a)–(f), a document that consists of a report made to the Governor by a Commissioner under section 10 of the <i>Special Commissions of Inquiry Act 1983</i> .	15 16 17
8	Documents produced to certain parliamentary committees conducted in private	18 19
	Without limiting section 28 (4) (a)–(f), any of the following documents (or parts of documents):	20 21
	(a) a document (or part of a document) produced to the Committee on Children and Young People constituted under the Commission for Children and Young People Act 1998 in proceedings conducted in private, but only if the document (or part of the document) has been disclosed or published in accordance with clause 6 of Schedule 1 to that Act,	22 23 24 25 26 27
	(b) a document (or part of a document) produced to the Committee on the Health Care Complaints Commission appointed as referred to in section 64 of the <i>Health Care Complaints Act 1993</i> in proceedings conducted in private, but only if the document (or part of the document) has been disclosed or published in accordance with section 72 of that Act,	28 29 30 31 32 33
	(c) a document (or part of a document) produced to the Committee on the Independent Commission Against Corruption constituted under the <i>Independent Commission Against Corruption Act 1988</i> in proceedings conducted in private, but only if the document (or part of the document) has been disclosed or published in accordance with section 70 of that Act,	34 35 36 37 38 39

Act.

(d) a document (or part of a document) produced to the Legislation Review Committee constituted under the Legislation Review Act 1987 in proceedings conducted in private, but only if the document (or part of the document) has been disclosed or published in accordance with section 12 of that Act, a document (or part of a document) produced to the Committee (e) on the Office of the Ombudsman and the Police Integrity Commission constituted under the Ombudsman Act 1974 in proceedings conducted in private, but only if the document (or part of the document) has been disclosed or published in 10 accordance with section 31H of that Act, 11 a document (or part of a document) produced to the Public (f) 12 Accounts Committee constituted under Part 4 of the Public 13 Finance and Audit Act 1983 in proceedings conducted in private, 14 but only if the document (or part of the document) has been 15 disclosed or published in accordance with section 58 of that Act, 16 a document (or part of a document) produced to the Committee 17 (g) on the Office of the Valuer-General constituted under Part 8 of 18

the Valuation of Land Act 1916 in proceedings conducted in

private, but only if the document (or part of the document) has

been disclosed or published in accordance with section 92 of that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

19

20

21

Sch	nedule 3	Additional proceedings of public concern	1
		(Section 29 (4) (p))	3
1	Proceeding 1996 (cf Act	gs relating to Appeal Panel under Thoroughbred Racing Act t No 18 1974, cl 2 (8) of Sch 2)	4
		out limiting section 29 (4) (a)–(o), proceedings on an appeal to the eal Panel under the <i>Thoroughbred Racing Act 1996</i> .	5 6
2	Proceeding of Sch 2)	gs relating to Privacy Commissioner (cf Act No 18 1974, cl 2 (11)	7 8
	Com inclu	nout limiting section 29 (4) (a)–(o), proceedings of the Privacy missioner, but only to the extent that those proceedings are ided in a report previously made public under section 65 of the acy and Personal Information Protection Act 1998.	9 10 11 12
3	Proceeding cl 2 (12) of S	gs relating to Anti-Discrimination Board (cf Act No 18 1974, Sch 2)	13 14
	inqui Anti-	nout limiting section 29 (4) (a)–(o), proceedings at an investigation, iry or examination conducted by or on behalf of the Discrimination Board constituted under the <i>Anti-Discrimination</i> 1977.	15 16 17 18
4		gs under Greyhound and Harness Racing Administration Act t No 18 1974, cl 2 (13) of Sch 2)	19
	With	nout limiting section 29 (4) (a)–(o), proceedings:	20
	(a)	at an inquiry conducted by the Greyhound and Harness Racing Regulatory Authority in the exercise of its functions (including the exercise of any such functions by a steward of that Authority), or	21 22 23 24
	(b)	on an appeal to that Authority or the Greyhound and Harness Racing Appeals Tribunal under the <i>Greyhound and Harness Racing Administration Act 2004</i> .	25 26 27
5	Proceeding cl 2 (13A) of	gs relating to Racing New South Wales (cf Act No 18 1974, Sch 2)	28 29
	cond	nout limiting section 29 (4) (a)–(o), proceedings at an inquiry sucted by Racing New South Wales under the <i>Thoroughbred ing Act 1996</i> .	30 31 32

6	Proceedings relating to Racing Appeals Tribunal (cf Act No 18 1974, cl 2 (14) of Sch 2)	1 2
	Without limiting section 29 (4) (a)–(o), proceedings on an appeal to the Racing Appeals Tribunal under the <i>Racing Appeals Tribunal Act 1983</i> .	3 4
7	Proceedings under Medical Practice Act 1992 (cf Act No 18 1974, cl 2 (14A) of Sch 2)	5 6
	Without limiting section 29 (4) (a)–(o), proceedings under the <i>Medical Practice Act 1992</i> of any of the following:	7 8
	(a) the New South Wales Medical Board,	9
	(b) a Professional Standards Committee,	10
	(c) the Medical Tribunal.	11
8	<b>Proceedings under Legal Profession Act 2004</b> (cf Act No 18 1974, cl 2 (16) of Sch 2)	12 13
	Without limiting section 29 (4) (a)–(o), proceedings under Chapter 4 of the <i>Legal Profession Act 2004</i> of any of the following:	14 15
	(a) the Bar Council,	16
	(b) the Law Society Council,	17
	(c) the Legal Services Commissioner.	18
9	Proceedings under Workers Compensation Acts (cf Act No 18 1974, cl 2 (17) of Sch 2)	19 20
	Without limiting section 29 (4) (a)–(o), proceedings of a conciliation officer, conciliator or member of the Commission under the <i>Workers Compensation Act 1987</i> or the <i>Workplace Injury Management and Workers Compensation Act 1998</i> .	21 22 23 24
10	Proceedings relating to New South Wales Crime Commission (cf Act No 18 1974, cl 2 (19) of Sch 2)	25 26
	Without limiting section 29 (4) (a)–(o), proceedings at a hearing held in public by the New South Wales Crime Commission.	27 28
11	Proceedings relating to Board of Inquiry under Coal Mines Regulation Act 1982 (cf Act No 18 1974, cl 2 (19A) of Sch 2)	29
	Without limiting section 29 (4) (a)–(o), proceedings at a special inquiry conducted by a Board of Inquiry under the <i>Coal Mines Regulation Act</i> 1982.	30 31 32

12	Proceedings relating to Board of Inquiry under Mines Inspection Act 1901 (cf Act No 18 1974, cl 2 (19B) of Sch 2)	1
	Without limiting section 29 (4) (a)–(o), proceedings at a special inquiry conducted by a Board of Inquiry under the <i>Mines Inspection Act 1901</i> .	3
13	Proceedings relating to HomeFund Commissioner (cf Act No 18 1974, cl 2 (20) of Sch 2)	4
	Without limiting section 29 (4) (a)–(o), proceedings of the HomeFund Commissioner, but only to the extent those proceedings are included in a report previously made public under section 34 (3) of the <i>HomeFund Commissioner Act 1993</i> .	6 7 8 9
14	Proceedings relating to Local Government Pecuniary Interest and Disciplinary Tribunal (cf Act No 18 1974, cl 2 (22) of Sch 2)	10
	Without limiting section 29 (4) (a)–(o), proceedings of the Local Government Pecuniary Interest and Disciplinary Tribunal under the <i>Local Government Act 1993</i> .	11 12 13
15	Proceedings relating to Administrative Decisions Tribunal (cf Act No 18 1974, cl 2 (23) of Sch 2)	14 15
	Without limiting section 29 (4) (a)–(o), proceedings held in public of the Administrative Decisions Tribunal.	16 17
16	Proceedings relating to Aboriginal Land Councils Pecuniary Interest Tribunal (cf Act No 18 1974, cl 2 (24) of Sch 2)	18
	Without limiting section 29 (4) (a)–(o), proceedings of the Aboriginal Land Councils Pecuniary Interest Tribunal under the <i>Aboriginal Land Rights Act 1983</i> .	19 20 21
17	Proceedings relating to certain parliamentary committees conducted in private	22 23
	Without limiting section 29 (4) (a)–(o), any of the following proceedings:	24 25
	(a) proceedings of the Committee on Children and Young People constituted under the <i>Commission for Children and Young People Act 1998</i> conducted in private, but only to the extent that those proceedings relate to the taking of evidence that is disclosed or published in accordance with clause 6 of Schedule 1 to that Act,	26 27 28 29 30 31
	(b) proceedings of the Committee on the Health Care Complaints Commission appointed as referred to in section 64 of the <i>Health Care Complaints Act 1993</i> conducted in private, but only to the extent that those proceedings relate to the taking of evidence that	32 33 34 35

	is disclosed or published in accordance with section 72 of that Act,	1 2
(c)	proceedings of the Committee on the Independent Commission Against Corruption constituted under the <i>Independent Commission Against Corruption Act 1988</i> conducted in private, but only to the extent that those proceedings relate to the taking of evidence that is disclosed or published in accordance with section 70 of that Act,	3 4 5 6 7 8
(d)	proceedings of the Legislation Review Committee constituted under the <i>Legislation Review Act 1987</i> conducted in private, but only to the extent that those proceedings relate to the taking of evidence that is disclosed or published in accordance with section 12 of that Act,	9 10 11 12 13
(e)	proceedings of the Committee on the Office of the Ombudsman and the Police Integrity Commission constituted under the <i>Ombudsman Act 1974</i> conducted in private, but only to the extent that those proceedings relate to the taking of evidence that is disclosed or published in accordance with section 31H of that Act,	14 15 16 17 18 19
(f)	proceedings of the Public Accounts Committee constituted under Part 4 of the <i>Public Finance and Audit Act 1983</i> conducted in private, but only to the extent that those proceedings relate to the taking of evidence that is disclosed or published in accordance with section 58 of that Act,	20 21 22 23 24
(g)	proceedings of the Committee on the Office of the Valuer-General constituted under Part 8 of the <i>Valuation of Land Act 1916</i> conducted in private, but only to the extent that those proceedings relate to the taking of evidence that is disclosed or published in accordance with section 92 of that Act.	25 26 27 28 29

Schedule 4		le 4	Savings, transitional and other provisions	
			(Section 47)	3
Par	't 1	Ge	neral	4
1	Regi	ulatior	ıs	5
	(1)		regulations may contain provisions of a savings or transitional re consequent on the enactment of the following Acts:  Act	6 7 8
	(2)		such provision may, if the regulations so provide, take effect from late of assent to the Act concerned or a later date.	9 10
	(3)	is ea	he extent to which any such provision takes effect from a date that urlier than the date of its publication in the Gazette, the provision not operate so as:	11 12 13
		(a)	to affect, in a manner prejudicial to any person (other than the State or an authority of the State), the rights of that person existing before the date of its publication, or	14 15 16
		(b)	to impose liabilities on any person (other than the State or an authority of the State) in respect of anything done or omitted to be done before the date of its publication.	17 18 19
Par	t 2	Pro Act	ovisions consequent on enactment of this	20 21
2	App	licatio	n of this Act	22
	(1)		Act applies to the publication of defamatory matter after the mencement of this Act, unless subclause (2) provides otherwise.	23 24
	(2)	cause	provisions of this Act (other than this clause) do not apply to a e of action for the publication of defamatory matter that accrues the commencement of this Act (the <i>post-commencement action</i> )	25 26 27 28
		(a)	the post-commencement action is one of 2 or more causes of action in proceedings commenced by a plaintiff, and	29 30
		(b)	each cause of action in the proceedings accrues because of the publication of the same, or substantially the same, matter on separate occasions (whether by the same defendant or another defendant), and	31 32 33 34

		(c)	one or more of the other causes of action in the proceedings accrued before the commencement of this Act (a <i>pre-commencement action</i> ), and	1 2 3
		(d)	the post-commencement action accrued no later than 12 months after the date on which the earliest pre-commencement action in the proceedings accrued.	4 5 6
	(3)	cause	existing law of defamation continues to apply to the following es of action in the same way as it would have applied to those es of action had this Act not been enacted:	7 8 9
		(a)	any cause of action that accrued before the commencement of this Act,	10 11
		(b)	any post-commencement action to which the other provisions of this Act do not apply because of subclause (2).	12 13
	(4)	all re law) for t	is clause, the <i>existing law of defamation</i> means the law (including elevant statutory provisions and principles and rules of the general that applied in this jurisdiction to the determination of civil liability the publication of defamatory matter immediately before the mencement of this Act.	14 15 16 17 18
3	Ame Act		nts to this Act consequent on repeal of Coal Mines Regulation	19 20
	(1)	In th	is clause:	21
		relev	ant day means:	22
		(a)	if the <i>Coal Mines Regulation Act 1982</i> is repealed by the <i>Coal Mine Health and Safety Act 2002</i> on or before 1 January 2006—1 January 2006, or	23 24 25
		(b)	if the <i>Coal Mines Regulation Act 1982</i> is repealed by the <i>Coal Mine Health and Safety Act 2002</i> after 1 January 2006—the day on which that Act is repealed.	26 27 28
	(2)	the i	se 16 of Schedule 1 and clause 11 of Schedule 3 are amended on relevant day by omitting "Coal Mines Regulation Act 1982" ever occurring and by inserting instead "Coal Mine Health and by Act 2002".	29 30 31 32
4	Ame 1901		nts to this Act consequent on repeal of Mines Inspection Act	33 34
	(1)	In th	is clause:	35
	` ′	relev	ant day means:	36
		(a)	if the <i>Mines Inspection Act 1901</i> is repealed by the <i>Mine Health and Safety Act 2004</i> on or before 1 January 2006—1 January 2006, or	37 38 39

		(b)	if the <i>Mines Inspection Act 1901</i> is repealed by the <i>Mine Health and Safety Act 2004</i> after 1 January 2006—the day on which that Act is repealed.	1 2 3
	(2)	the r	se 17 of Schedule 1 and clause 12 of Schedule 3 are amended on relevant day by omitting "Mines Inspection Act 1901" wherever rring and by inserting instead "Mine Health and Safety Act 2004".	5 6
5			nts to this Act consequent on amendments made by Crimes ration of Sentences) Amendment (Parole) Act 2004	7
	(1)	In th	is clause:	9
		relev	ant day means:	10
		(a)	if Schedule 1 [1] to the <i>Crimes (Administration of Sentences)</i> Amendment (Parole) Act 2004 commences on or before 1 January 2006—1 January 2006, or	11 12 13
		(b)	if Schedule 1 [1] to the <i>Crimes (Administration of Sentences)</i> Amendment (Parole) Act 2004 commences after 1 January 2006—the day on which Schedule 1 [1] to that Act commences.	14 15 16
	(2)	Clau	se 7 of Schedule 1 is amended on the relevant day:	17
		(a)	by omitting "Parole Board" wherever occurring and by inserting instead "State Parole Authority", and	18 19
		(b)	by omitting "that Board" and by inserting instead "that Authority".	20 21
6	Cons	structi	on of references	22
	(1)	In an	y other Act or instrument:	23
		(a)	subject to paragraph (b), a reference to the <i>Defamation Act 1974</i> is taken to be a reference to this Act, and	24 25
		(b)	a reference to a provision of the <i>Defamation Act 1974</i> is taken to be a reference to the corresponding provision or provisions (if any) of this Act or section 529 of the <i>Crimes Act 1900</i> .	26 27 28
	(2)	Subc	clause (1) does not apply to any provision of another Act, or an ument made under another Act, prescribed by the regulations.	29 30

Amendment of other Acts concerning criminal defamation and limitation periods

Schedule 5		le 5	Amendment of other Acts concerning criminal defamation and limitation periods	
			(Section 48)	4
5.1	Crir	nes A	Act 1900 No 40	5
[1]	Part	14A, ł	neading	6
	Omi	t "Sum	nmary". Insert instead "Miscellaneous".	7
[2]	Part	14A, [	Division 1, heading	8
	Inse	t "sum	nmary" after "similar".	9
[3]	Part	14A, [	Division 2, heading	10
	Omi	t "Mis	cellaneous". Insert instead "Other".	11
[4]	Sect	ion 52	9	12
	Insert before section 545A:		13	
	529	Crim	ninal defamation	14
		(1)	Common law misdemeanour of criminal libel abolished	15
			The common law misdemeanour of criminal libel remains abolished.	16 17
		(2)	Blasphemous, seditious or obscene libel not affected	18
			Subsection (1) does not affect the law relating to blasphemous, seditious or obscene libel.	19 20
		(3)	Offence of criminal defamation	21
			A person who, without lawful excuse, publishes matter defamatory of another living person (the <i>victim</i> ):	22 23
			(a) knowing the matter to be false, and	24
			(b) with intent to cause serious harm to the victim or any other person or being reckless as to whether such harm is caused,	25 26
			is guilty of an offence.	27
			Maximum penalty: 3 years imprisonment.	28
		(4)	Lawful excuse	29
			A defendant in proceedings for an offence under this section has a lawful excuse for the publication of defamatory matter about the victim if, and only if, the defendant would, having regard only	30 31 32

	to the circumstances happening before publication, have had a defence for the p had brought civil proceedings for dedefendant.	ublication if the victim	2
(5)	Prosecution to negative lawful excuse		į
	The prosecution bears the onus of negati lawful excuse if, and only if, evidence dire excuse is first adduced by or on behalf of	ected to establishing the	- - -
(6)	Functions of jury		(
	On a trial before a jury for an offence und	ler this section:	10
	(a) the question of whether the macapable of bearing a defamatory modetermination by the judicial office	eaning is a question for	1: 12 13
	(b) the question of whether the matter c a defamatory meaning is a question		14 15
	(c) the jury may give a general verdict on the issues as a whole.	t of guilty or not guilty	16 17
(7)	DPP to consent to proceedings		18
	Proceedings in a court for an offence unde instituted without the written consent of Prosecutions.		19 20 2
(8)	Evidence of consent of DPP		22
	In those proceedings, a consent purporting the Director of Public Prosecutions is, signature, evidence of that consent.		23 24 25
(9)	Proceedings for an offence do not bar ci	vil proceedings	26
	The commencement of criminal proceeding this section does not prevent:	gs for an offence under	27 28
	(a) the commencement of civil proce against the defendant in the crimina		29 30
	(b) the determination of the civil prodetermination of the criminal proce		3 <sup>-</sup>
(10)	Proof of convictions for offences		33
	If the question whether or not a person (other than offence under this section) ar an offence under this section, section 42 2005 applies to the proof of the commission	ises in proceedings for of the <i>Defamation Act</i>	34 38 36 37

Schedule	5
----------	---

Amendment of other Acts concerning criminal defamation and limitation periods

			same way as it applies to such proof in civil proceedings for defamation.	
		(11)	Interpretation	;
			In this section, <i>publish</i> and <i>defamatory</i> have the meanings that they have in the law of tort (as modified by the <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> ) relating to defamation.	!
[5]	Secti after	ion 579 15 yea	9 Evidence of proceedings dealt with by way of recognizance ars	<del>.</del> 8
	Omit	sectio	n 579 (4). Insert instead:	(
		(4)	This section does not affect the operation of section 529 (10), or the operation of section 178 (Convictions, acquittals and other judicial proceedings) of the <i>Evidence Act 1995</i> , for the purposes of section 529 (10).	10 1: 12 13
5.2	Lim	itatio	n Act 1969 No 31	14
[1]	Secti	ion 14	В	1
	Omit	the se	ction. Insert instead:	16
	14B	Defa	mation	17
			An action on a cause of action for defamation is not maintainable if brought after the end of a limitation period of 1 year running from the date of the publication of the matter complained of.	18 19 20
[2]	Part	3, Divi	sion 2A	2
	Omit	the Di	vision. Insert instead:	22
	Divi	sion	2A Defamation	23
	56A	Exte	nsion of limitation period by court	24
		(1)	A person claiming to have a cause of action for defamation may apply to the court for an order extending the limitation period for the cause of action.	25 26 27
		(2)	A court must, if satisfied that it was not reasonable in the circumstances for the plaintiff to have commenced an action in relation to the matter complained of within 1 year from the date of the publication, extend the limitation period mentioned in section 14B to a period of up to 3 years running from the date of the publication.	28 29 30 37 32 33

		(3)	A court may not order the extension of the limitation period for a cause of action for defamation other than in the circumstances specified in subsection (2).	1 2 3
	56B	Effec	ct of order	4
			If a court orders the extension of a limitation period for a cause of action under section 56A, the limitation period is accordingly extended for the purposes of:	5 6 7
			(a) an action brought by the applicant in that court on the cause of action that the applicant claims to have, and	8 9
			(b) section 26 (1) (b) in relation to any associated contribution action brought by the person against whom the cause of action lies.	10 11 12
	56C	Cost	s	13
			Without affecting any discretion that a court has in relation to costs, a court hearing an action brought as a result of an order under section 56A may reduce the costs otherwise payable to a successful plaintiff, on account of the expense to which the defendant has been put because the action was commenced outside the original limitation period.	14 15 16 17 18
	56D	Prio	expiry of limitation period	20
			An order for the extension of a limitation period, and an application for such an order, may be made under this Division even though the limitation period has already expired.	21 22 23
[3]	Sche	edule 5	5 Further transitional provisions	24
	Omit the heading "Limitation (Amendment) Act 1990". Insert instead:			
	Par	t 1	Provisions consequent on enactment of Limitation (Amendment) Act 1990	26 27
[4]	Sche	edule s	5, clauses 1 and 4 (5)	28
	Omit	t "this	Schedule" wherever occurring. Insert instead "this Part".	29

Schedu	le	5

Amendment of other Acts concerning criminal defamation and limitation periods

[5]	Schedule 5, Part 2 Insert after clause 5:			1 2
	111501	tancı	clause 5.	2
	Par	t 2	Provisions consequent on enactment of Defamation Act 2005	3
	6	Defi	nition	5
			In this Part:	6
			new defamation provisions means:	7
			(a) section 14B (as substituted by the <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> ), and	8
			(b) Division 2A of Part 3 (as substituted by the <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> ).	10 11
	7	Арр	lication of amendments made by Defamation Act 2005	12
		(1)	The new defamation provisions apply to the publication of defamatory matter after the commencement of those provisions, unless subclause (2) provides otherwise.	13 14 15
		(2)	The new defamation provisions do not apply to a cause of action for the publication of defamatory matter that accrues after the commencement of those provisions (the <i>post-commencement action</i> ) if:	16 17 18 19
			(a) the post-commencement action is one of 2 or more causes of action in proceedings commenced by a plaintiff, and	20 21
			(b) each cause of action in the proceedings accrues because of the publication of the same, or substantially the same, matter on separate occasions (whether by the same defendant or another defendant), and	22 23 24 25
			(c) one or more of the other causes of action in the proceedings accrued before the commencement of the new defamation provisions (a <i>pre-commencement action</i> ), and	26 27 28
			(d) the post-commencement action accrued no later than 12 months after the date on which the earliest pre-commencement action in the proceedings accrued.	29 30 31
		(3)	The existing limitation law continues to apply to the following causes of action in the same way as it would have applied to those causes of action had the new defamation provisions not been enacted:	32 33 34 35
			(a) any cause of action that accrued before the new defamation provisions,	36 37

## Defamation Bill 2005

Amendment of other Acts concerning criminal defamation and limitation periods

Schedule 5

1 2

	(b) any post-commencement action to which the new defamation provisions do not apply because of subclause (2).	2
(4)	In this clause, the <i>existing limitation law</i> means the provisions of this Act that applied in relation to the limitation period for defamation actions immediately before the commencement of the new defamation provisions.	

Sch	redule 6	Consequential amendment of other Acts	1
		(Section 48)	2
6.1	Anti-Discri	mination Act 1977 No 48	3
[1]	Section 20C	Racial vilification unlawful	4
	Omit section 2	20C (2) (b). Insert instead:	5
	(	b) a communication or the distribution or dissemination of any matter on an occasion that would be subject to a defence of absolute privilege (whether under the <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> or otherwise) in proceedings for defamation, or	6 7 8 9 10
[2]	Section 38S	Transgender vilification unlawful	11
	Omit section 3	38S (2) (b). Insert instead:	12
	(	b) a communication or the distribution or dissemination of any matter on an occasion that would be subject to a defence of absolute privilege (whether under the <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> or otherwise) in proceedings for defamation, or	13 14 15 16 17
[3]	Section 49ZT	Homosexual vilification unlawful	18
	Omit section 4	49ZT (2) (b). Insert instead:	19
	(	b) a communication or the distribution or dissemination of any matter on an occasion that would be subject to a defence of absolute privilege (whether under the <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> or otherwise) in proceedings for defamation, or	20 21 22 23 24
[4]	Section 49ZX	B HIV/AIDS vilification unlawful	25
	Omit section 4	49ZXB (2) (b). Insert instead:	26
	(	b) a communication or the distribution or dissemination of any matter on an occasion that would be subject to a defence of absolute privilege (whether under the <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> or otherwise) in proceedings for defamation, or	27 28 29 30 31
6.2	Civil Liability Act 2002 No 22		
[1]	Section 67 A	pplication of Part	33
• •		I liability for defamation" after "section 3B" in section 67 (2).	34

[2]	Section 67, note		1		
	Insert at the end of the section:		2		
		Defamation Act 2005 makes similar provision to ct of apologies in defamation proceedings.	3 4		
6.3	Commission for Children	and Young People Act 1998 No 146	5		
	Schedule 1 Parliamentary Joint	Committee	6		
	Omit clause 6 (8). Insert instead:		7		
	private is disclosed of sections 5 and 6 of <i>Provisions</i> ) <i>Act 1975</i> publication as if it wauthority of section 4 <b>Note.</b> The <i>Defamation</i> respect of the publicate evidence taken by, or Committee in private, but disclosed or published Section 28 of the <i>Defa</i> Schedule 2 to that Act relating to public docurrection 29 of the <i>Defa</i> Schedule 3 to that Act is taken or documents	by the Parliamentary Joint Committee in or published in accordance with this clause, the <i>Parliamentary Papers (Supplementary apply to and in relation to the disclosure or ere a publication of that evidence under the of that Act.</i> Act 2005 makes provision for 2 defences in tion of defamatory matter that is contained in documents produced to, the Parliamentary Joint out only if the evidence or documents have been in accordance with this clause.  Amation Act 2005 (when read with clause 8 of ensures that such documents attract the defencements in defamation proceedings.  Amation Act 2005 (when read with clause 17 of ensures that proceedings in which such evidence is produced attract the defences relating to fair of public concern in defamation proceedings.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25		
6.4	District Court Act 1973 No	9	26		
[1]	Section 4 Definitions: general				
	Insert after section 4 (11):		28		
	(12) Notes included in thi	s Act do not form part of this Act.	29		
[2]	Section 76A Action to be tried without jury unless jury required in interests of justice				
	Omit section 76A (4). Insert instead:				
	` /	t apply to any action for defamation. e Defamation Act 2005 makes provision for jury ons.	33 34 35		
[3]	Section 76B Defamation action otherwise	s to be tried by jury unless Court orders	36 37		
	Omit the section.				

Schedule 6 Consequential amendment of other Acts
--

6.5		ernn 0 No		and Related Employees Appeal Tribunal Act	1
[1]	Section 4 Definitions				
	Inser	t after	section	n 4 (11):	2
		(12)	Note	es included in this Act do not form part of this Act.	5
[2]	Sect	ion 50	)		6
	Omit	the se	ection.	Insert instead:	7
	50	Арр	licatio	n of Defamation Act 2005 to proceedings of Tribunal	8
	Section 27 of the <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> makes provision for a defence of absolute privilege in respect of publications of defamatory matter in the course of proceedings of the Tribunal.  Note. Section 27 (2) (b) of the <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> provides that the defence of absolute privilege is available in respect of defamatory matter that is published in the course of proceedings of an Australian court or Australian tribunal, including (but not limited to) the following:  (a) the publication of matter in any document filed or lodged with, or otherwise submitted to, the court or tribunal (including any originating process),  (b) the publication of matter while giving evidence before the court or tribunal,  (c) the publication of matter in any judgment, order or other determination of the court or tribunal.  The term <i>Australian tribunal</i> is defined in section 4 of that Act to mean any tribunal (other than a court) established by or under a law of an		10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23		
			witne or oth The Austr it is a	ralian jurisdiction that has the power to take evidence from esses before it on oath or affirmation (including a Royal Commission oner special commission of inquiry).  Government and Related Employees Appeal Tribunal is an ralian tribunal for the purposes of the <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> because a tribunal that has the power under this Act to take evidence from esses under oath. See sections 37 (4) (c), 38 (1) and 45.	25 26 27 28 29 30 31
6.6	Guardianship Act 1987 No 257				32
	Section 74			33	
	Omit the section. Insert instead:				34
	74	App	licatio	n of Defamation Act 2005 to proceedings of Tribunal	35
			defe	ion 27 of the <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> makes provision for a nee of absolute privilege in respect of publications of matory matter in the course of proceedings of the Tribunal	36 37

6.7

	<b>Note.</b> Section 27 (2) (b) of the <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> provides that the defence of absolute privilege is available in respect of defamatory matter that is published in the course of proceedings of an Australian court or Australian tribunal, including (but not limited to) the following:	1 2 3 4
	<ul> <li>the publication of matter in any document filed or lodged with, or otherwise submitted to, the court or tribunal (including any originating process),</li> </ul>	5 6 7
	(b) the publication of matter while giving evidence before the court or tribunal,	8 9
	(c) the publication of matter in any judgment, order or other determination of the court or tribunal.	10 11
	The term <b>Australian tribunal</b> is defined in section 4 of that Act to mean any tribunal (other than a court) established by or under a law of an Australian jurisdiction that has the power to take evidence from witnesses before it on oath or affirmation (including a Royal Commission or other special commission of inquiry).	12 13 14 15 16
	The Guardianship Tribunal is an Australian tribunal for the purposes of the <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> because it is a tribunal that has the power under section 60 (1) of this Act to take evidence from witnesses under oath.	17 18 19 20
Health Ca	re Complaints Act 1993 No 105	21
Section 72	Confidentiality	22
Omit section	n 72 (11). Insert instead:	23
(11)	If evidence taken by the Joint Committee in private is disclosed or published in accordance with this section, sections 5 and 6 of the <i>Parliamentary Papers (Supplementary Provisions) Act 1975</i> apply to and in relation to the disclosure or publication as if it were a publication of that evidence under the authority of section 4 of that Act.	24 25 26 27 28 29
	<b>Note.</b> The <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> makes provision for 2 defences in respect of the publication of defamatory matter that is contained in evidence taken by, or documents produced to, the Joint Committee in private, but only if the evidence or documents have been disclosed or published in accordance with this section.	30 31 32 33 34
	Section 28 of the <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> (when read with clause 8 of Schedule 2 to that Act) ensures that such documents attract the defence relating to public documents in defamation proceedings.	35 36 37
	Section 29 of the <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> (when read with clause 17 of Schedule 3 to that Act) ensures that proceedings in which such evidence is taken or documents produced attract the defences relating to fair reports of proceedings of public concern in defamation proceedings.	38 39 40 41

6.8	Independent Commission Against Corruption Act 1988 No 35				
	Section 70 Confidentiality				
	Omit section 70 (8). Insert instead:				
	(8)	If evidence taken by the Joint Committee in private is disclosed or published in accordance with this section, sections 5 and 6 of the <i>Parliamentary Papers (Supplementary Provisions) Act 1975</i> apply to and in relation to the disclosure or publication as if it were a publication of that evidence under the authority of section 4 of that Act.  Note. The <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> makes provision for 2 defences in respect of the publication of defamatory matter that is contained in evidence taken by, or documents produced to, the Joint Committee in private, but only if the evidence or documents have been disclosed or published in accordance with this section.  Section 28 of the <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> (when read with clause 8 of			
		Schedule 2 to that Act) ensures that such documents attract the defence relating to public documents in defamation proceedings.  Section 29 of the <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> (when read with clause 17 of Schedule 3 to that Act) ensures that proceedings in which such evidence is taken or documents produced attract the defences relating to fair reports of proceedings of public concern in defamation proceedings.	16 17 18 19 20 21		
6.9	Legislati	on Review Act 1987 No 165	23		
[1]	Section 3	Definitions	24		
	Insert after	section 3 (2):	25		
	(3)	Notes included in this Act do not form part of this Act.	26		
[2]	Section 12	. Confidentiality	27		
	Omit section 12 (8). Insert instead:				
	(8)	If evidence taken by the Committee in private is disclosed or published in accordance with this section, sections 5 and 6 of the <i>Parliamentary Papers (Supplementary Provisions) Act 1975</i> apply to and in relation to the disclosure or publication as if it were a publication of that evidence under the authority of section 4 of that Act.  Note. The <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> makes provision for 2 defences in respect of the publication of defamatory matter that is contained in evidence taken by, or documents produced to, the Committee in private, but only if the evidence or documents have been disclosed or published in accordance with this section.	29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38		

			Sched	on 28 of the <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> (when read with clause 8 of dule 2 to that Act) ensures that such documents attract the defence ag to public documents in defamation proceedings.	1 2 3
			Sched is take	on 29 of the <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> (when read with clause 17 of dule 3 to that Act) ensures that proceedings in which such evidence en or documents produced attract the defences relating to fair is of proceedings of public concern in defamation proceedings.	4 5 6 7
6.10	Men	ital He	alth	Act 1990 No 9	8
[1]	Sect	ion 3A			9
	Inser	t after s	section	13:	10
	3 <b>A</b>	Notes	5		11
			Notes	s included in this Act do not form part of this Act.	12
[2]	Sect	ion 260	)		13
	Omit	the sec	ction. l	Insert instead:	14
	260	Appli	catior	n of Defamation Act 2005 to proceedings of Tribunal	15
			defen	on 27 of the <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> makes provision for a acc of absolute privilege in respect of publications of natory matter in the course of proceedings of the Tribunal.	16 17 18
			Note. defend that is	Section 27 (2) (b) of the <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> provides that the ce of absolute privilege is available in respect of defamatory matter spublished in the course of proceedings of an Australian court or alian tribunal, including (but not limited to) the following:	19 20 21 22
			(a)	the publication of matter in any document filed or lodged with, or otherwise submitted to, the court or tribunal (including any originating process),	23 24 25
			(b)	the publication of matter while giving evidence before the court or tribunal,	26 27
			(c)	the publication of matter in any judgment, order or other determination of the court or tribunal.	28 29
			any tr Austra witnes or oth	erm <b>Australian tribunal</b> is defined in section 4 of that Act to mean ribunal (other than a court) established by or under a law of an alian jurisdiction that has the power to take evidence from sees before it on oath or affirmation (including a Royal Commission er special commission of inquiry).	30 31 32 33 34
			purpo	Mental Health Review Tribunal is an Australian tribunal for the ses of the <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> because it is a tribunal that has the runder section 277 of this Act to take evidence from witnesses oath.	35 36 37 38

6.11	Notice of No 19	f Action and Other Privileges Abolition Act 1977	1 2		
	Section 5	Amendment of other Acts	3		
	Omit section	on 5 (4) (c). Insert instead:	4		
		(c) Division 1 of Part 3 of the <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> , or	5		
6.12	Ombuds	man Act 1974 No 68	6		
[1]	Section 5	Definitions	7		
	Insert after	section 5 (4):	8		
	(5)	Notes included in this Act do not form part of this Act.	9		
[2]	Section 31	H Confidentiality	10		
	Omit section 31H (8). Insert instead:				
	(8)	If evidence taken by the Joint Committee in private is disclosed or published in accordance with this section, sections 5 and 6 of the <i>Parliamentary Papers (Supplementary Provisions) Act 1975</i> apply to and in relation to the disclosure or publication as if it were a publication of that evidence under the authority of section 4 of that Act.  Note. The <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> makes provision for 2 defences in respect of the publication of defamatory matter that is contained in evidence taken by, or documents produced to, the Joint Committee in private, but only if the evidence or documents have been disclosed or published in accordance with this section.  Section 28 of the <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> (when read with clause 8 of Schedule 2 to that Act) ensures that such documents attract the defence relating to public documents in defamation proceedings.  Section 29 of the <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> (when read with clause 17 of Schedule 3 to that Act) ensures that proceedings in which such evidence is taken or documents produced attract the defences relating to fair reports of proceedings of public concern in defamation proceedings.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29		
6.13	Parliame	ntary Evidence Act 1901 No 43	30		
[1]	Section 3	Definitions	31		
	Insert at the	e end of the section:	32		
	(2)	Notes included in this Act do not form part of this Act.	33		

[2]	Section 12	Privilege of witness	1		
	Insert at the end of the section:				
	(2)	This section operates in addition to, and not in derogation of, any defence available to any such witness under the <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> for the publication of defamatory matter.  Note. For example, section 27 (2) (a) (iii) of the <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> provides that the publication of defamatory matter while giving evidence before a parliamentary body attracts the defence of absolute privilege in defamation proceedings. Section 4 of that Act defines a <i>parliamentary body</i> to include a parliament or legislature, a house of a parliament or legislature and committees of any such parliament, legislature or house.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		
6.14	Parliamentary Papers (Supplementary Provisions) Act 1975 No 49				
[1]	Section 3 D	Definitions	14		
	Insert at the	end of the section:	15		
	(2)	Notes included in this Act do not form part of this Act.	16		
[2]	Section 7 P	Proceedings for defamation	17		
	Insert at the	end of the section:	18		
		<b>Note.</b> Section 27 (2) (a) of the <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> provides that the publication of defamatory matter in the course of proceedings of a parliamentary body attracts the defence of absolute privilege in defamation proceedings, including (but not limited to):	19 20 21 22		
		(a) the publication of a document by order, or under the authority, of the body, and	23 24		
		(b) the publication of the debates and proceedings of the body by or under the authority of the body or any law, and	25 26		
		(c) the publication of matter while giving evidence before the body, and	27 28		
		<ul> <li>(d) the publication of matter while presenting or submitting a document to the body.</li> </ul>	29 30		
		Section 4 of that Act defines a <i>parliamentary body</i> to include a parliament or legislature, a house of a parliament or legislature and committees of any such parliament, legislature or house.	31 32 33		
6.15	Public Fi	nance and Audit Act 1983 No 152	34		
	Section 58 Evidence				
	Omit section 58 (9). Insert instead:				
	(9)	If evidence taken by the Committee in private is disclosed or published in accordance with this section, sections 5 and 6 of the Parliamentary Papers (Supplementary Provisions) Act 1975	37 38 39		

		were	to and in relation to the disclosure or publication as if it a publication of that evidence under the authority of on 4 of that Act.	2
		respe evider but or	The <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> makes provision for 2 defences in ct of the publication of defamatory matter that is contained in noce taken by, or documents produced to, the Committee in private, ally if the evidence or documents have been disclosed or published cordance with this section.	4 6 -
		Sched	on 28 of the <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> (when read with clause 8 of dule 2 to that Act) ensures that such documents attract the defence no public documents in defamation proceedings.	10 10 11
		Sched is tak	on 29 of the <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> (when read with clause 17 of dule 3 to that Act) ensures that proceedings in which such evidence en or documents produced attract the defences relating to fair its of proceedings of public concern in defamation proceedings.	12 13 14 18
6.16	Special C	omn	nissions of Inquiry Act 1983 No 90	16
[1]	Section 3 D	Definiti	ions	17
	Insert after	section	1 3 (3):	18
	(4)	Notes	s included in this Act do not form part of this Act.	19
[2]	Section 10	Repo	rts	20
	Omit section	n 10 (4	4). Insert at the end of the section:	2
		in a re defen	Any determinations made by a Commissioner that are contained eport made to the Governor under this section attract the following ces under the specified provisions of the <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> in nation proceedings:	22 23 24 25
		(a)	the defence of absolute privilege (see section 27 (2) (b) and the definition of <i>Australian tribunal</i> in section 4 of that Act),	26 27
		(b)	the defence for publication of public documents (see section 28 of, and clause 7 of Schedule 2 to, that Act),	28 29
		(c)	the defences of fair report of proceedings of public concern (see section 29 and, in particular, section 29 (4) (f) of that Act).	3.
6.17	Supreme	Cou	rt Act 1970 No 52	32
[1]	Section 85	Trial v	without jury unless jury required in interests of justice	33
	Omit section	n 85 (6	5). Insert instead:	34
	(6)		section does not apply to proceedings in any Division for nation.	3: 36
			Section 21 of the <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> makes provision for jury in defamation proceedings	37

[2] Section 86 Common law claim—defamation				non law claim—defamation		
	Omit the section.				2	
6.18	Tran	spor	t Appeal Boards Act 1980 No 104			
[1]	Secti	Section 4 Definitions				
	Insert	after s	section	4 (3):	į	
		(4)	Notes	s included in this Act do not form part of this Act.	6	
[2]	Secti	on 26			7	
	Omit the section. Insert instead:				8	
	26	Appli	cation	of Defamation Act 2005 to proceedings of Board	Ç	
			defendefant Note. defendefant	on 27 of the <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> makes provision for a ce of absolute privilege in respect of publications of natory matter in the course of proceedings of a Board.  Section 27 (2) (b) of the <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> provides that the ce of absolute privilege is available in respect of defamatory matter published in the course of proceedings of an Australian court or alian tribunal, including (but not limited to) the following:  the publication of matter in any document filed or lodged with, or otherwise submitted to, the court or tribunal (including any originating process),  the publication of matter while giving evidence before the court or tribunal,	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 18 20	
			any tr Austra witnes or othe A Tran the De under	the publication of matter in any judgment, order or other determination of the court or tribunal.  The serm Australian tribunal is defined in section 4 of that Act to mean ibunal (other than a court) established by or under a law of an alian jurisdiction that has the power to take evidence from isses before it on oath or affirmation (including a Royal Commission er special commission of inquiry).  The special commission of inquiry).  The special Board is an Australian tribunal for the purposes of the section and the sections of the section of the sec	2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 3: 3:	

6.19	Valuation of Land Act 1916 No 2				
	Secti	on 92	Confid	dentiality	:
	Omit section 92 (10). Insert instead:				;
		(10)	or pul the Pa apply were	dence taken by the Joint Committee in private is disclosed blished in accordance with this section, sections 5 and 6 of arliamentary Papers (Supplementary Provisions) Act 1975 to and in relation to the disclosure or publication as if it a publication of that evidence under the authority of an 4 of that Act.	
			respect evider private	The <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> makes provision for 2 defences in ct of the publication of defamatory matter that is contained in face taken by, or documents produced to, the Joint Committee in ce, but only if the evidence or documents have been disclosed or hed in accordance with this section.	10 1 1: 1: 1:
			Sched	n 28 of the <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> (when read with clause 8 of Jule 2 to that Act) ensures that such documents attract the defence g to public documents in defamation proceedings.	1! 10 1
			Sched is take	n 29 of the <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> (when read with clause 17 of lule 3 to that Act) ensures that proceedings in which such evidence en or documents produced attract the defences relating to fair s of proceedings of public concern in defamation proceedings.	18 19 20 21
6.20	Victims Support and Rehabilitation Act 1996 No 115				2:
	Sche	dule 2	Provi	sions relating to Tribunal	2:
	Omit	clause	10. In	sert instead:	24
	10	Appli	cation	of Defamation Act 2005 to proceedings of Tribunal	2
			defen defan	on 27 of the <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> makes provision for a ce of absolute privilege in respect of publications of natory matter in the course of proceedings of the Tribunal.	20 21 21
			defend that is	Section 27 (2) (b) of the <i>Defamation Act 2005</i> provides that the ce of absolute privilege is available in respect of defamatory matter published in the course of proceedings of an Australian court or illian tribunal, including (but not limited to) the following:	29 30 3 32
			(a)	the publication of matter in any document filed or lodged with, or otherwise submitted to, the court or tribunal (including any originating process),	3: 34 3:
			(b)	the publication of matter while giving evidence before the court or tribunal,	30
			(c)	the publication of matter in any judgment, order or other determination of the court or tribunal.	38 39

12345 6789

The term Australian tribunal is defined in section 4 of that Act to mean
any tribunal (other than a court) established by or under a law of ar
Australian jurisdiction that has the power to take evidence from
witnesses before it on oath or affirmation (including a Royal Commission
or other special commission of inquiry)

or other special commission of inquiry). The Victims Compensation Tribunal is an Australian tribunal for the purposes of the *Defamation Act 2005* because it is a tribunal that has the power under clause 16 (1) (b) and (c) of this Schedule to take evidence from witnesses under oath.

Page 71